SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT

			REPOR	RT SEQUENCE NO. 3	
6		COURT - DEPT/DIV	ATTY.	JUDGE	
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Plaintiff	HEARING 07/21/2011	EXPIRATION DATE(S) 05/10/2014	COURT CASE NO./DEF. I.D.	
		C.I.I. NO.	08/11/2011		
V\$.		PROBATION NO.			
LINDSAY DEE LOHAN,	Defendant	DPO PARKER		AREA OFFICE SM	
WHEREABOUTS LOS ANGELES CA 90069	ū.	☐ NON-APPEAR	RANCE TO APPEAR BY: Court		
	SUPPLE	EMENTAL REPORT			
1 REASON FOR HEARING:					
2 THIS MATTER IS ON CALENI	THIS MATTER IS ON CALENDER FOR A PROGRESS REPORT. THE COURT				
3 CONTINUED THIS MATTER F	CONTINUED THIS MATTER FOR A PROGRESS REPORT REGARDING COMMUNITY				
4 SERVICE, ENROLLMENT IN	SERVICE, ENROLLMENT IN PSYCHOLOGICAL COUNSELING AND A SHOPLIFTERS				
5 COURSE. THE COURT ALSO	WISHED TO	BE ADVISED	OF PAYMENT OF	COURT	
6 FEES.			SUPE	FILED RIOR COURT OF CALIFOR OUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
7 RECORD BUREAU CLEARANCE	<u>.</u>			JUL 2 1 2011	
8 NO NEW ARRESTS.		El El	Jehn .	A, Clarke, Executive Office/C	
9 A CHECK OF CII WAS COMP	LETED ON	JULY 18, 201	.1. by	Rul	
10 REPORT:					
11 THE FINANCIAL OBLIGATION	THE FINANCIAL OBLIGATION ON CASE#7BVO1538 OF \$3,429.00, HAS BEEN				
3 1	SATISFIED AS OF FEBRUARY 9, 2011.				
	THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT MADE ANY PAYMENTS TOWARDS THE FINANCIAL				
14 OBLIGATION IN CASE #SAC	OBLIGATION IN CASE #SA076679. THE OUTSTANDING FINANCIAL OBLIGATION				
15 IS \$3,900.00.	in co	الم معلم	3,900 is not	currenty	

75P729A-PROB.712{Rev. 8/00} CRWS.SYS(11/2002)

-1- (LOHAN)

1	TO DATE, THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT PROVIDED PROOF TO THE PROBATION
2	OFFICER THAT SHE HAS COMPLIED WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE CONDITIONS.
3	THE PROBATION OFFICER ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT THE DEFENDANT THROUGH HER
4	ASSISTANT, AS THERE IS SOME TYPE OF BLOCK ON THE DEFENDANT'S HOME
5	TELEPHONE. MESSAGES WERE LEFT WITH THE ASSISTANT ON JULY 12TH,
6	13TH, AND 14TH, ASKING HER TO HAVE THE DEFENDANT CONTACT THE
	PROBATION OFFICER, TO NO AVAIL.
8	ON JUNE 2, 2011, THE DEFENDANT WAS KEEPERCED TO 12,
9	LOS ANGELES SCHOOL FOR THEFT COUNSELING. SHE HAS NOT PROVIDED PROOF
10	1 P 10
11	THE PROBATION OFFICE
	THERAPIST, ON OR ABOUT JUNE 6, 2011. HE INDICATED THE DEFENDANT
13	SHOULD HAVE INSURANCE THROUGH THE SCREEN ACTORS GUILD, AND SHE COULD
14	PICK A THERAPIST FROM THE INSURANCE LISTING, AND FOLLOW THROUGH WITH
15	a a constant of the constant o
16	
17	SERVICE. THE DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY INDICATES THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS
18	COMPLETED SOME COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS, BUT THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT
19	PROVIDED VERIFICATION. Was ordered to provide proof to court on 7/21 - not to probation
20	REMARKS:
21	
22	
23	THAT SHE BE CONTINUED ON FORMAL PROBATION UNTIL SHE ENROLLS IN
	-2- (LOHAN) Figlation will be determined by court in

2	BY THE COURT. SHE WAS GIVEN A YEAR TO COMPLETE COMMUNITY SERVICE BY
3	THE COURT.
4	RECOMMENDATIONS:
5	IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE FOUND IN VIOLATION OF
6	PROBATION; AND THAT THE DEFENDANT BE CONTINUED ON FORMAL PROBATION
7	UNDER THE SAME TERMS AND CONDITIONS.
8	
9	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
10	DONALD H. BLEVINS CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
11	BY:BARBARA PARKER, DPO
12	SANTA MONICA AREA OFFICE
13	READ AND APPROVED:
14	READ AND APPROVED: I HAVE READ AND CONSIDERED THE PROBATION OFFICER REPORT OF THE PROBATION OFFICER
15	TIM GOULD, SDPO JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

-3- (LOHAN)

76P729A-PROB.712(Rev. 8/00) CRWS.SYS(1/1/2002)