LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

DEC 3 1 2009



RICHARD LLOYD SHERMAN (STATE BAR NO. 106597) ABHAY KHOSLA, ESQ. (SBN 223555)

SHERMAN LAW GROUP 2

9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, California 90212-2911

Telephone: 4

(310) 246-0321

Facsimile: (310) 246-0305

Attorneys for Respondent ELIZABETH KENNEDY

6

5

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - WEST

Lotte Par

BARRY WILLIAMS, an individual,

Petitioner.

ELIZABETH KENNEDY, an individual.

Respondent.

CASE NO. SO004289

[Assigned to The Hon. David J. Cowan]

ELIZABETH KENNEDY'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER: DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH KENNEDY

DV-120 ANSWER TO TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER FILED CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH

Date: January 4, 2010 Time: 8:45 a.m.

Dept.: WEE

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Barry Williams ("Petitioner") seeks an order preventing domestic violence by Elizabeth Kennedy ("Ms. Kennedy"). The imposition of a restraining order against Ms. Kennedy is improper as Petitioner has failed to set forth sufficient facts to demonstrate that Ms. Kennedy is in any way a threat to his personal safety. Petitioner's request for restraining order is nothing other than an attempt to embarrass and defame Ms. Kennedy, being brought in retaliation for her

incurring "excessive" charges on his credit card while they were living together. Moreover, Petitioner is aware that Ms. Kennedy is retaining counsel for a palimony suit and wrongful termination claim and this restraining order is his attempt to obtain some type of advantage in the anticipated litigation. As is demonstrated below and in Ms. Kennedy's accompanying declaration, Ms. Kennedy has done nothing to justify the imposition of such a restraining order.

II. MR. WILLIAMS CANNOT DEMONSTRATE CREDIBLE FACTS TO JUSTIFY IMPOSING A RESTRAINING ORDER ON MS. KENNEDY.

If the Court proceeds with the evidentiary hearing, the Court is requested to view Petitioner's application in light of the true facts and context. Petitioner, a 55 year-old actor, had an employment relationship with a then 26-year-old woman with whom he lived. Petitioner, a child star on the television show "The Brady Bunch", wined, dined, and seduced Ms. Kennedy, a young girl half his age, when he first employed her. Petitioner terminated her employment and ended their relationship on the untrue excuse that Ms. Kennedy overcharged his credit card, without his knowledge. Ms. Kennedy has no intention of initiating contact with Mr. Williams or having anything to do with him. All future contact will be through her legal counsel. It is wrong for Petitioner to try to use this Court to obtain a restraining order to obtain an advantage in future litigation.

An order may be issued under the Domestic Violence Prevention Act ("DVPA") to restrain a person for the purpose of "preventing a recurrence of domestic violence and ensuring a period of separation of the persons involved. . . if [the petitioner] shows, to the satisfaction of the court, reasonable proof of a past act or acts of abuse." Family Code § 6300.

"Abuse" within the meaning of the DVPA means either intentionally or recklessly causing or attempting to cause bodily injury; sexual assault; placing a person in "reasonable apprehension" of imminent serious bodily injury to that person or another; or engaging in any behavior that has been or could be enjoined pursuant to Family Code § 6320. Family Code § 6203(a) - (d).

Petitioner fails to set forth the required abuse in his Request for Order. His allegations of abuse, which are denied by Ms. Kennedy, consist of:

- She threatened to kill herself on August 20, 2009, and then threatened him as well.
- She knocked his eye glasses off his face on September 25, 2009.
- She attempted to pull out a knife from a knife holder on November 23, 2009. (But apparently she did not do so.)
- She tried to kick him but missed.
- She threatened to destroy his personal belongings.

Ms. Kennedy denies that she ever threatened to kill herself or him. In fact, she was not even in the State of California on the date that Petitioner alleges the threat occurred. This fabrication seriously undermines Petitioner's credibility. Ms. Kennedy denies that she ever knocked Petitioner's glasses off his face. It is noteworthy that Petitioner fails to set forth the circumstances behind this incident. There is no allegation that Ms. Kennedy struck Petitioner or took any actions which would be violent. The truth of the matter is that she never hit or struck Petitioner.

Ms. Kennedy further denies that she ever tried to pull a knife from a knife holder other than to cook a meal. Petitioner's allegations that Ms. Kennedy "attempted to pull out a knife from a knife holder" is without basis in fact or reality. It is again noteworthy that she never pulled out the knife nor pointed it at him. His suggestion that had he not restrained her she would have pulled out the knife is speculation, even if believed. Ms. Kennedy denies that she ever did such and she has no history of violence or aggression.

Ms. Kennedy further denies that she ever kicked or struck Petitioner. She never kicked him and there is no allegation that she ever did such. It is important to keep in mind that Petitioner is 6'0 and 180 lbs. while Ms. Kennedy is 5'2 and 103 lbs. The idea that Petitioner is reasonably concerned about his safety, when he was never struck, hit, kicked, assaulted or battered is ridiculous.

Finally, there is no truth as to Petitioner's allegations that Ms. Kennedy threatened to destroy his personal property. Ms. Kennedy denies that she ever made such a threat. There is no allegation that she did destroy his personal property even when she was thrown out of the house in which they both lived. Petitioner's allegations are baseless.

As such, Petitioner's allegations do not satisfy Family Code § 6203(e), since the basis of the statute is to prevent behavior that "has been or could be enjoined pursuant to Family Code § 6320" including: "molesting, attacking, striking, stalking, threatening, sexually assaulting, battering, harassing, telephoning, including, but not limited to, annoying telephone calls . . . destroying personal properly, contacting by mail or otherwise . . ."

Petitioner has not alleged that he was molested, attacked, stalked, sexually assaulted, harassed or had personal property destroyed. The only allegation (which is denied) is that she knocked off his glasses on one occasion—which hardly rises to the level to obtain a permanent restraining order.

Petitioner has failed to set forth sufficient evident to demonstrate that Ms. Kennedy is a danger to him, or that he a reasonable fear that she will inflict upon him any imminent harm.

The Court is requested to view Petitioner's application and testimony in the context of what this is really about. Petitioner is upset because Ms. Kennedy, while they were living together, spent monies on his credit card and the ATM, which he now contends was excessive and unauthorized. While there is no basis for a court to order any such restraint, Ms. Kennedy has no intention of initiating contract with Petitioner other than through her legal counsel.

Ms. Kennedy respectfully requests that this Court deny Petitioner's Request for Order. If Petitioner feels that he is owed money by Ms. Kennedy, then he has other remedies. Ms. Kennedy was Petitioner's employee and his callous treatment of her in the way he terminated her employment and ended their relationship will be the subject of future litigation.

Dated: December 30, 2009

SHERMAN LAW GROUP

RICHARD LLOYD SHERMAN

Attorneys for Respondent Elizabeth Kennedy

D۱	/-120 Answer Restrain	to Temporary ling Order	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
1 N	Name of person who asked for the order (protected person): Barry Williams Your name: Elizabeth Kennedy		FILED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
2 Y			DEC 3 1 2009
Y to	our address (skip this if you be private, give a mailing	have a lawyer): (If you want your ad address instead):	dress JOHNA, GLARKE, CLERK
c	ity:	State: Zip:	
	our telephone (optional):		FIII in court name and street address:
8: Sl 9:	our lawyer (if you have one and State Bar number): ichard Lloyd Sherma herman Law Group 154 Wilshire Blyd.	Superior Court of California, County of LOS ANGELES Santa Monica Courthouse 1625 Main Street Same Santa Monica, CA 90401	
	everly Hills, CA 9		Clerk fills in case number:
C	ive the judge your answer	/ Fax: (310) 246-0305	Case Number:
-	Personal Conduct O		SQ004289
	Stay-Away Order	agree to the order requested.	The judge can consider your Answer at the hearing. Write your hearing date and time here.
	I do X do not a	gree to the order requested.	Hearing Date: 1/4/10 Time: 8:30A Date Dept.: E Room: 111
	b. Lam not the pare	agree to the custody order requested. Int of the child listed in DV-105. In the custody order (specify):	You must obey the orders until the hearing. If you do not come to this hearing, the judge can make the orders last for 3 years or longer.
	d. I do do not Visitation a. 1 do do not b. ask for the follo	agree to the orders requested to pragree to the visitation order requesting visitation order (specify):	etad.
	Child Support a. I do dc not b I agree to pay guid	agree to the order requested. deline child support. defile Form FL-150 or FL-155.	
	Spousal Support do do not a	agree to the order requested. ou must fill out, serve, and file Forn	n FL-150.

Your name: Elizabeth Kennedy	Case Number: SQ004289
Property Control I do do not agree to the order requested. If you have other requests, list them in below.	
11 Debt Payment I do X do not agree to the order requested. If you have other requests, list them in @ below.	
Property Restrictint I do do not agree to the order requested. If you have other requests, list them in 20 below.	
Attorney Fees and Costs I do not agree to the order requested.	
Payments for Costs and Services I do do not agree to the order requested.	
I do do not agree to the order requested.	
16 Batterer Intervention Program 1 do do not agree to the order requested. 17 Other Orders (see item 21 on Form DV 100)	
do X do not agree to the orders requested.	
a. [] I do not own or have any guns or firearms.	as to the police or a licensed gun dealer. In filed with the court.
19	r was issued without
Item: Amount: \$ Item:	Amount: \$
20 X My Answer to the Statements in DV-100 and Other Requested Please attach your statement. Write "DV-120, Item 20—More Information I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California to correct.	tion" at the top. Be specific.
Date: December 30, 2009 Elizabeth Kennedy Type or print your name Sign your name	abeth Bennedo

DV-120, ITEM 20 - MORE INFORMATION DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH KENNEDY

I, Elizabeth Kennedy, hereby declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am the person identified in paragraph 2 of Barry Williams's DV-110 Temporary Restraining Order as the "Restrained Person" and the person Mr. Williams "want(s) protection from" as stated in paragraph 2 of his DV-100 Request for Order (Domestic Violence Prevention). I make this declaration in answer and in opposition to his request for a Restraining Order. I have personal first hand knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called to testify as a witness, I could and would testify to the following facts.
- 2. I first met the applicant Barry Williams in April of 2006. At the time, he was 51 years old; I recognized at the time that he was the former child star of the television show "The Brady Bunch." Barry Williams pursued me aggressively romantically until I agreed to enter into a romantic/work relationship with him. At the time, I was 27 years old.
- 3. Thereafter, I was dedicated partner to Mr. Williams. As part of our relationship, I not only provided emotional support for Barry Williams, but Barry often trusted me to be in sole supervision of his minor son Brandon Williams. As just one example, I was listed on documentation at Brandon Williams' pre-school in Santa Monica as having been expressly granted authority to pick up Brandon after school and to be recognized by school officials as a trustworthy caregiver. I am thus especially shocked by the current allegations made against me, that I am somehow a violent person, and I believe it should be obvious that they are untrue based upon the fact that Mr. Williams had trusted me with the care of his minor son throughout the duration of our relationship.
- 4. On November 17, 2009, Barry received a telephone call from Marianne Yhounkeere, his financial advisor at Merrill Lynch, alerting him to supposedly "suspicious activity" on his Visa card. I had no involvement in this "suspicious activity," but thereafter, on November 23, 2009, he began to suddenly accuse me of having "stolen" \$50,000 from him. I now believe that these outrageous accusations were simply an untrue "pre-text" to end our relationship and my employment with him.

- 5. He now apparently claims that this is the same date (November 23, 2009) that I attempted to pull a knife on him; no such event ever took place. In fact, none of the events in which Mr. Williams claims I acted violently took place—they are all complete and obvious fabrications.
- 6. Mr. Williams claims that I threatened to commit suicide and to murder him as well after losing a "beauty pageant." He is referring to a Miss Hawaiian Tropic fitness pageant on August 15, 2009, that I had entered purely for fun and for the experience. At all relevant times, we were in the constant company of Barry Williams' minor son Brandon, who would have witnessed any such outburst. If such an event had taken place, I believe that (a) Brandon would have reported it to his mother and Barry Williams's divorced wife Eila, and (b) Eila in turn would have immediately forbidden any further contact with her child. This did not take place, and in fact the opposite took place: I was placed in charge of Brandon after said pageant for a three-week period.
- 7. Mr. Williams claims that the foregoing took place on August 20, 2009. This is incorrect, and Mr. Williams is confused. I was not even in the same state as Mr. Williams on August 20, 2009 as he was out of the state for a Kansas City musical called "Church Basement Ladies 2: A Second Helping."
- 8. Mr. Williams also claims that I knocked eyeglasses from his face on or about September 25, 2009. This never took place. Mr. Williams also claims that I once tried to kick him but missed. This is also untrue. Mr. Williams also claims that I threatened to destroy his personal belongings. This is also untrue. I am 5'3" and 103 lbs., and Barry Williams is 6'0" and considerably heavier than I am. The idea that I would provoke a physical confrontation with him is absurd. I am stunned by the suggestion that a man the size of Barry Williams would think he needs a restraining order to prevent being slapped by a woman of my size. I believe the more likely explanation is Barry is being vindictive because of a financial dispute between us, and not because I pose any threat to him or his property.

10. I have no intention of initiating further contact with Mr. Williams or having anything further to do with him. All future contact will be through my legal counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 30, 2009 in Beverly Hills, California.

ELIZABETH KENNEDY HERDE