1 Etan Z. Lorant, Esq., SBN: 108820 Yael Trock, Esq., SBN 185423 LAW OFFICES OF ETAN Z. LORA 2 5850 Canoga Avenue, Ste. 400 3 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone (818) 990-3990 Clarke, Executive Officer/Clark 4 Facsimile (818) 990-5812 Deputy 5 RUGENA LOPEZ Attorneys for Plaintiff, JAMES MEE 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 CASE NO.: BC444914 JAMES MEE, 11 Plaintiff, 12 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:** VS. 13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE 1. and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 14 **CALIFORNIA FAIR** EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING 15 **ACT (DISCRIMINATION ON** Defendants. ACCOUNT OF RELIGION) 16 17 2. RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR 18 EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT 19 20 3. FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE **CALIFORNIA FAIR** 21 EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING **ACT (HARRASEMENT / HOSTILE** 22 WORK ENVIRONMENT) 23 24 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 25 26 27 28

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff is and at all times relevant was a resident of the County of Los 1. Angeles, State of California.
- 2. Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES (also referred to herein as "COUNTY") is and was, at all times mentioned herein, a municipal entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.
- The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or 3. otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff and therefore Plaintiff sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of these fictitiously named Defendants were responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by their conduct.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times mentioned, each of the Defendants, including the fictitiously named defendants, was the agent and employee of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the scope and course of such agency. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all times relevant hereto, each of the Defendants and the fictitiously named Defendants acted in concert and in furtherance of each other's interest. In fact, there is such a unity of interest and ownership between and among all Defendants that any separateness between them has ceased to exist, such that Defendants, and each of them, are the alter egos of each other. Based on the facts alleged herein, adherence to the legal fiction of the existence of all Defendants separate and apart from each other would sanction their wrongful conduct and promote injustice.

///

///

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT

AND HOUSING ACT (RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION)

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 5. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 4, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 6. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES as a Sheriff
 Deputy on May 10, 1989. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was, and is, a Jewish male, and
 at all relevant times herein, Defendants herein knew that Plaintiff is of the Jewish faith. During
 the course of his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff performed his various responsibilities in
 an exemplary fashion, received favorable performance reviews, competent employee evaluations,
 and capably performed each and every condition of the employment agreement.
- 7. On July 28, 2006, while assigned to the Lost Hills Sheriff's Station Malibu Patrol Area, Plaintiff, Los Angeles Sheriff Deputy JAMES MEE, arrested Mel Gibson for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol ("DUI").
- 8. At or around the time of his arrest, Mr. Gibson was a spokesperson for the Los Angeles Sheriff's Star Organization, which is an organization run by, supported and administered, by the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department and Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. Mr. Gibson had previously filmed a Public Service Announcement for Sheriff Baca's Relief Committee dressed in Los Angeles Sheriff Uniform, and standing by a Sheriff's patrol vehicle. Additionally, Mr. Gibson was, a close friend of Sheriff Baca, and had close associations with the top administration personnel of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Mr. Gibson and Plaintiff's supervisor Sergeant Finch were also members of the same church.

9.

13

10

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

23

22

2425

26 27

28

numerous anti-Semitic remarks, asked Plaintiff if he was "a fucking Jew," shouted "the Jews are responsible for all the wars in the world!", and threatened to cause Plaintiff trouble at his work with the Los Angeles Sheriff Department.

10. In the Early morning hours of July 29, 2006, Plaintiff submitted his report of the

During his arrest by Plaintiff for a "DUI" on July 28, 2006, Mr. Gibson shouted

- arrest of Mr. Gibson. The report described the specific inflammatory and discriminatory religious slurs made by Mel Gibson against Jews and against Deputy JAMES MEE for being Jewish. Shortly after submitting the initial report which included a description of the anti-Semitic slurs of Mel Gibson, Plaintiff was ordered by his Watch Commander Lieutenant Crystal Miranda and his Watch Sergeant Kevin Finch to delete the anti-Semitic slurs that were made by Mr. Gibson, and in addition, to write a Supplemental Report that would describe the anti-Semitic slurs which would then be marked 'confidential' and sealed in a safe. Believing that the anti-Semitic slurs clearly revealed religious discrimination by a known Spokesperson for the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, as well as show the level of toxicity of Mr. Gibson, Plaintiff protested to erasing the anti-Semitic slurs from his report. Regardless of Plaintiff's protest, Plaintiff received a "direct order" by Commander Lieutenant Miranda and Sergeant Finch to delete the anti-Semitic slurs from the arrest report (effectively participating in covering-up the anti-Semitic posture of Mr. Gibson by sealing the description of the discriminatory remarks secretively in a safe.) The Order to delete the anti-Semitic slurs was discriminatory toward Plaintiff who is Jewish.
- 11. Some time around the arrest of Mel Gibson, four pages from Plaintiff's initial arrest report of Mr. Gibson were leaked by an unidentified person(s) to the internet site of Harvey Levin, "TMZ", and were published as "Gibson's Anti-Semitic Tirade Alleged Cover Up." From early on, Mr. Levin denied that his source was Plaintiff, and there was no evidence whatsoever that Plaintiff was the person who leaked said pages.

- interrogated by Internal Affairs Bureau regarding the leakage of the Mel Gibson arrest report which contained the anti-Semitic remarks. Despite the fact that a number of non-Jewish deputies had access to the report and to the copy machines nearby, Defendants only accused Plaintiff of leaking the report to "TMZ," and initiated an Internal Affairs investigation only against him.

 Defendants only accused Plaintiff and initiated an internal affairs investigation against him because he is Jewish, and because he described anti-Semitic remarks in the arrest report of Mel Gibson, Defendants' Spokesperson and close associate of the Sherrif and the Sheriff Department. Furthermore, Defendants violated Plaintiff's due process rights in that they intentionally took approximately 4 years to complete the investigation, despite the fact that an earlier resolution was procedurally required, and despite the fact that the delay impacted Plaintiff's ability to promote.
- 13. As further religious discrimination and retaliation by Defendants, on August 2, 2006, Plaintiff was removed from the prestigious Lost Hills Station Malibu Patrol Area, where he had been assigned for a long time, and where he was established at and was very familiar with, and *involuntarily* transferred by Defendants to the Agoura Patrol Area. At the Agoura Patrol Area, Plaintiff was forced to re-establish his seniority and contacts with the public. Furthermore, Plaintiff's ability to promote was impacted by said involuntary transfer to the Agoura Patrol Area in that Plaintiff was forced to have a reduced productivity level, and thus, a reduced ability to promote with Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
- 14. On August 23, 2006, Plaintiff was unjustly served with a negative Performance Log report by Sergeant Tracy Palmer who was Plaintiff's supervisor during said arrest of Mel Gibson, and whom Plaintiff accused of erasing a portion of the videotape of the booking of Mel Gibson. Said Performance Log report negatively impacted Plaintiff's ability to promote with Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, and was instigated in order to further discriminate

and retaliate against Plaintiff for reporting anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson and for being Jewish.

- 15. Around August 31, 2006, and around October 2, 2007, despite the fact that Plaintiff applied for, and was well qualified for the position of a Traffic Investigator, Plaintiff was unjustly denied the position. Plaintiff believes and hereby alleges that the failure to promote him was motivated in whole or in part by his religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson.
- 16. On September 13, 2006, Plaintiff was unjustly served by Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES with a Search Warrant for his bank accounts and home, and his personal computer(s) were removed. No incriminating evidence whatsoever was found in Plaintiff's home or in his bank accounts. The solicitation and service of the search warrant and negative information about Plaintiff constituted further religious discrimination and retaliation within the meaning of California Government Code Section 12940, et seq. None of the homes of the other non-Jewish deputies were searched even though several of them had access to the initial Mel Gibson's arrest report as well.
- 17. On October 20, 2006, while Plaintiff was working the Early Morning Shift,
 Sergeant Tracy Palmer attempted to entice Plaintiff's partner, Rebecca Smith, to leave work early
 so that Plaintiff's safety would be compromised (since he would have to work the shift by
 himself without any backup), so as to create the appearance that no other deputy wanted to work
 with Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and Lt. Stephen actively and affirmatively solicited a citizen's complaint against Plaintiff from Lisa Kellog who had a personal relationship with Sheriff Baca and Mel Gibson, but was not present during the alleged incident described in the citizen's complaint. Plaintiff believes and hereby alleges that the active

solicitation of an unfounded complaint against him was motivated in whole or in part by his religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson.

- 19. As further religious discrimination and retaliation, on December 1, 2006, Lt.

 Stephens wrongfully initiated an Internal Investigation against Plaintiff in connection with a complaint by an arrestee. There was no sufficient basis whatsoever to initiate the Internal Affairs investigation against Plaintiff.
- 20. On December 2, 2006, Sergeant Palmer again retaliated against Plaintiff when she demanded that he return from patrol to the Station to complete a Vehicle Inspection Sheet, which he had already filled-out as he did for many years, and which was already accepted by Sergeant Crooker.
- 21. On December 4, 2006, Plaintiff complained to Defendant's ombudsperson that he suffered retaliation by Sgt. Palmer because Plaintiff had disclosed that Sgt. Palmer had erased a videotape involving the arrest of Mel Gibson and the fact that Sergeant Finch told Mr. Gibson they are members of the same Church. Yet, Plaintiff's complaint was ignored.
- 22. On September 6, 2007, Plaintiff was served by Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES with a disciplinary Letter of Intent to suspend him for three (3) days, and was so suspended. The suspension was motivated in whole or in part by Plaintiff's religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson, Mel Gibson.
- 23. Around October 10, 2006, despite the fact that Plaintiff applied for, and was well qualified for, the position of Station Court Deputy, Plaintiff was unjustly denied the position. A less-qualified deputy was chosen for the position. Again, the failure to promote was the result of religious discrimination and retaliation for Plaintiff's religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson.

///

24. Around February 2008, Plaintiff was wrongfully placed by Captain Martin on Unit Level Performance Review/Performance Monitoring, which negatively affected his ability to promote. A Performance Review was rarely used, if at all, by Defendants, and was used in Plaintiff's case for the sole reason of further retaliating against him for reporting Anti-Semitism by Defendant's Spokesperson. There was nothing unusual about Plaintiff's performance in comparison to other deputies serving similar lengths of time. Plaintiff believes, and hereby alleges, that the failure to promote him was motivated in whole or in part by his religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson.

- 25. On June 2, 2008, Defendant conducted a Command Review Hearing regarding Plaintiff's performance review.
- 26. On June 7, 2008, despite the fact that Plaintiff applied for, was well qualified for, and was recommended to, the position of Traffic Services Deputy at Risk Management Bureau-Traffic Services Detail, Plaintiff was unjustly denied the position as further retaliation and discrimination. A less-qualified deputy was chosen for the position despite the fact that Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation to be selected for the position under the competitive promotion system.
- 27. On August 27, 2008, Plaintiff applied for the position of a Motorcycle Deputy with Transit Services Bureau, with the support of Lt. Lewis. Despite the fact that Plaintiff was placed on a list of qualified candidates, he was, once again overlooked for the position because of his religion and his report of anti-Semitic remarks by Defendants' Spokesperson, Mel Gibson.
- 28. On September 18, 2008, Plaintiff again applied for the position of a Motorcycle Deputy with the Lancaster Station, with the support of Lt. Lewis. Despite the fact that Plaintiff was placed on a list of qualified candidates, he was overlooked for the position. A less-qualified deputy was chosen for the position.

29. Furthermore, Defendants attempted to cause criminal charges to be filed against Plaintiff, but on July 21, 2009, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office rejected any criminal filing against Plaintiff for Defendant's allegations of criminal conduct by Plaintiff in connection with the arrest of Mel Gibson. Nonetheless, the religious discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff continued.

- 30. On August 28, 2009, Plaintiff once again applied for the position of a Motorcycle Deputy with the Lost Hills Station. But once again, despite the fact that Plaintiff was placed on a list of qualified candidates, he was overlooked for the position. The position remained vacant.
- 31. On May 27, 2010, in a useless, discriminatory and retaliatory 'fishing-expedition' against Plaintiff, Defendant re-initiated an additional Internal Affairs investigation again against him in connection with the July 28, 2006 Mel Gibson arrest. The investigation concluded as 'unresolved' when it should have been concluded as 'unfounded' in light of the fact that there was no evidence whatsoever against Plaintiff.
- 32. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as described herein because Plaintiff is Jewish, and because Plaintiff complained of anti-Semitic slurs by Mel Gibson, a Spokesperson for, and close associate of, Defendants, and a friend of Sheriff Baca.
- 33. The aforementioned unlawful employment practices on the part of defendants, and each of them, were a substantial factor in causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff as set forth below.
- 34. Said actions and conduct of defendants, and each of them, consisting of the aforementioned religious discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff constitute unlawful employment practices under *California Government Code* Sections 12940 et. seq. Further, the failure of defendants and each of them, and or their managing agents to take all reasonable steps to remedy and/or prevent discrimination in response to the continuing acts and course of conduct

of employees and managing agents of defendants, despite having knowledge thereof, constitute, among other things, violations of Sections 12940(h), 12940(i) and 12940(k) of the *California Government Code*.

- 35. Plaintiff has duly filed administrative complaints with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") substantially alleging the acts and conduct of defendants as herein above described. The Department issued a "right-to-sue" notice on or about June 1, 2010. A true and correct copy of said notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 36. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them,
 Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income, in an amount to be proven at time of trial.
 Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest pursuant to
 California Civil Code Section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 37. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them,
 Plaintiff was personally humiliated and has become mentally upset, distressed and aggravated.
 Plaintiff claims general damages for such mental distress and aggravation in an amount of be proven at time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 37 as if set forth in full herein.
- 39. The conduct of Defendants and each of them as set forth above constituted retaliation thereby creating a continuing violation actionable under, among other things, *California Government Code Section* 12940 et seq.

13 14

12

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

- The retaliatory conduct as described above, was motivated in whole or in part, by 40. Plaintiff's report of religious epithets against Jews by Mel Gibson, a Spokesperson for Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and the Los Angeles Sheriff Department, and Plaintiff being a member of the Jewish religion.
- The aforementioned unlawful employment practices on the part of defendants, and 41. each of them, were a substantial factor in causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff as set forth below.
- 42. Said actions and conduct of defendants, and each of them, consisting of the aforementioned religious discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff constitute unlawful employment practices under California Government Code Section 12940 et seq. Further, the failure of defendants and each of them, and or their managing agents to take all reasonable steps to remedy and/or prevent discrimination in response to the continuing acts and course of conduct of employees and managing agents of defendants, despite having knowledge thereof, constitute, among other things, violations of Sections 12940(h), 12940(i) and 12940 (k) of the California Government Code.
- Plaintiff has duly filed administrative complaints with the California Department 43. of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") substantially alleging the acts and conduct of defendants as herein above described. The Department issued a "right-to-sue" notice on or about June 1, 2010. A true and correct copy of said notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 44. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income, in an amount to be proven at time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

45. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them,
Plaintiff was personally humiliated and has become mentally upset, distressed and aggravated.
Plaintiff claims general damages for such mental distress and aggravation in an amount of be proven at time of trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT -HARASSMENT/HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENTAGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 45 as if set forth in full herein.
- 47. The conduct as set forth above constituted harassment/hostile work environment thereby creating a continuing violation actionable under, among other things, *California Government Code Section* 12940(j). Moreover, the conduct of Defendants' employees and agents as described herein was outside the scope necessary for the job performance, and made for meanness or bigotry.
- 48. The retaliatory conduct as described above, was motivated in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's report of religious epithets against Jews by Mel Gibson, a Spokesperson for Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and the Los Angeles Sheriff Department, and Plaintiff being a member of the Jewish religion.
- 49. Plaintiff hereby alleges that Defendants herein failed to protect him from religious discrimination, retaliation and harassment, and allowed a hostile work environment to exist at the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Furthermore, Defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent the wrongs alleged herein in this Complaint.

28 | ///

	50.	The aforementioned unlawful employment practices on the part of defendants, and
each of	f them,	were a substantial factor in causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff as set forth
below		

- 51. Said actions and conduct of defendants, and each of them, consisting of the aforementioned religious harassment, religious discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff constitute unlawful employment practices under *California Government Code* Section 12940 et seq. Further, the failure of defendants and each of them, and or their managing agents to take all reasonable steps to remedy and/or prevent discrimination in response to the continuing acts and course of conduct of employees and managing agents of defendants, despite having knowledge thereof, constitute, among other things, violations of Sections 12940(h), 12940(i), 12940(j), and 12940 (k) of the *California Government Code*.
- 52. Plaintiff has duly filed administrative complaints with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") substantially alleging the acts and conduct of defendants as herein above described. The Department issued a "right-to-sue" notice on or about June 1, 2010. A true and correct copy of said notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
- 53. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them,
 Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose income, in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

 Plaintiff claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest pursuant to

 California Civil Code Section 3287 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 54. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful acts of defendants, and each of them,
 Plaintiff was personally humiliated and has become mentally upset, distressed and aggravated.
 Plaintiff claims general damages for such mental distress and aggravation in an amount of be proven at time of trial.

DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff, JAMES MEE, hereby respectfully demand a Jury Trial.

Dated: September 2, 2010

LAW OFFICES OF ETAN Z. LORANT

By:

YAEL TROCK

Attorneys for Plaintiff, JAMES MEE

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1055 WEST 7TH STREET, SUITE 1400, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 (213) 439-6770 www.dfeh.ca.gov



June 01, 2010

RE: E200910R6502-00

MEE/COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT'S ATTORNEY

Enclosed is a copy of your client's complaint of discrimination filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code section 12900 et seq. Also enclosed is a copy of your client's Notice of Case Closure, which constitutes your client's right-to-sue notice. Pursuant to Government Code section 12962, DFEH will not serve these documents on the employer.

Please refer to the enclosed Notice of Case Closure for information regarding filling a private lawsuit in the State of California.

Sincerely,

Tina Walker

District Administrator

1) ina Walker

Enclosure: Complaint of Discrimination

Notice of Case Closure

DFEH-200-06 (01/08)

のは人がは人がの

EXH 1

* * * EMPLOYMENT * * *

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT



E200910R6502-00

DFEH USE ONLY

	<u>CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OUR NAME (indicate Mr. or Ms.)		TELEPHONE	NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE)
MEE, JAMES		(81	8)990-3990
DDRESS			
C/O LAW OFFICES OF ETA AVE #400	AN Z. LORANT, 5850 CANOGA		
ITY/STATE/ZIP		COUNTY	COUNTY CODE
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91	367	LOS ANGELES	037
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PERSON, LA DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME:	BOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, AP	PRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, OR STATE OR I	OCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WE
IAME		TELEPH	ONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELE	S	(81	8)878-1808
ADDRESS			DFEH USE ONLY
27050 AGOURA ROAD			! !
CITY/STATE/ZIP		COUNTY	COUNTY CODE
AGOURA, CA 91301		LOS ANGELES	037
NO. OF EMPLOYEES/MEMBERS (if known)	DATE MOST RECENT OR CONTINUING DISCRIN TOOK PLACE (month,day, and year)	MINATION RESPONDENT CODE	ļ
100+	06/01/2010	00	<u>'</u>
conduct occurred:	constructive discharge (forced to quit) impermissible non-job-related inquiry	denial of accommodation X failure to prevent discrimination or retaliation X retaliation X other (specify) Discrimination based upon reflu	denial of right to wear pants denial of pregnancy accommodation alon (Jewish)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGE	LES Name of Person	Job Title (supervisor/manager/personnel directo	or/etc.)
because of :	sex national origin/ancestry		retaliation for engaging in protected
	age marital status	medical condition (cancer or	activity or requesting a protected
	K_religionsexual orientation	generic chracteristic	leave or accommodation
believe to be the MADE CONTROL reason(s) for WHICH INCLUDE discrimination	association NATED AGAINST BASED UPON MY RELIGION (JEWISH VERSIAL MOVIES INVOLVING JEWS AND CHRISTIANT ED ANTI-SEMITIC SLURS MADE BY MR. GIBSON AGAIN REQUEST THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSE	TY) AND FOR INSISTING ON WRITING A COMPLE NST ME AND JEWS IN GENERAL. Ing provide a right-to-sue. I understand that if I want	TE AND ACCURATE POLICE REPORT a federal notice of right-to-sue, I must vis
the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Comr whichever is earlier.	nission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receip est, nor do I make it based on fear of retaliation if I do not	t of the DFEH "Notice of Case Closure," or within 30	t) days of the alleged discriminatory act,
or reopen a complaint once the complaint has	been closed on the basis of "Complainant Elected Court A	Action."	
By submitting this complaint I am declaring matters stated on my information and belie	g under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of f, and as to those matters I believe It to be true.	of California that the foregoing is true and correc	t of my own knowledge except as to
Dated 06/01/2010			

(D)
DFEH-300-030 (02/08)
DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

At Los Angeles

DATE FILED: 06/01/2010

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1055 WEST 7TH STREET, SUITE 1400, LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 (213) 439-6770 www.dfeh.ca.gov



June 01, 2010

MEE, JAMES C/O LAW OFFICES OF ETAN Z. LORANT, 5850 CANOGA AVE #400 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367

RE: E200910R6502-00

MEE/COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Dear MEE, JAMES:

NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective June 01, 2010 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

_This_letter_is_also the Right-To-Sue-Notice. According to Government-Code section-12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Tina Walker

District Administrator

Wina Walker

cc: Case File

ETAN LORANT ATTORNEY LAW OFFICES OF ETAN Z. LORANT 5850 CANOGA AVE., STE 400 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367

SHORT TI	TLE:							
JAMES	MEE	vs.	COUNTY	OF	LOS	ANGELES,	et	al.

CASE NUMBER B C 4 4 4 9 1 4

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	is form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.							
	 Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: 							
JURY	URY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL HOURS! DAYS							
Item II.	em II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):							
Step	1: After first completing	g the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet h	eading for your case in					
the lef	t margin below, and, to	the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected	d.					
Step	2: Check one Superio	r Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature	of this case.					
Step	3: In Column C, circle	the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action y	ou have checked.					
For an	y exception to the coul	t location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.	7					
	1	e Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below						
	 May be filed in Central (C Location where cause of Location where bodily inj Location where performa 	rry, death or damage occurred. 9. Location where one or more of the control of t	des. esspondent functions wholly. of the parties reside. ner Office.					
Step	4: Fill in the information	n requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.						
	A	В	C					
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above					
ort	Category No.							
1 o	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.					
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.					
	1007 == 1	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage	2.					
	Asbestos (04)	A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.					
To	<u> </u>							
랿	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.					
Damage/Wrongful Death Tort		☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	1., 2., 4.					
for Table	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4.					
e d		A7240 Other Professional Realith Care Malphactice	1., 4., 4.					
Wron		☐ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 2., 4.					
de/	Other Personal Injury	A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g.,						
i i i	Property Damage Wrongful Death	assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 2., 4.					
Dam	(23)	☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 3.					
		A1220 Onlei Fersoniai injuryn roperty Daniago/ Fronty a	1., 2., 4.					
Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.					
sonal Injury/Property /Wrongful Death Tort	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.					
injur _. igful	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.					
sonal /Wrot	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.					

ভদ্যান্ত্রিতিn-Persc Damage/N

Jamage	
operty [Cont'd.)
ury/Pr	Tort (
ial Li	eath

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
JAMES MEE vs. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.	

ury/Property Tort (Cont'o	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Non-Personal Injury/Property Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'c	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Non-Pe Wrong	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
ment	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2 ., 3.
ರ	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.	
	Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
£	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
eal Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Œ	Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
etainer	Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
vful De	Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
view	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
cial Re	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judi	LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07)	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM	LASC, rule 2.0

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
JAMES MEE vs. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.	

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
) v		A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
vie,	Writ of Mandate	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
Re	(02)	☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
Judicia	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
lex	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ionally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
rovisio	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
ď	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
₹	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
cellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	 □ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition 	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
JAMES MEE vs. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.	
	•

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NU WHICH APPLIE			ADDRESS: 500 W. Temple, Suite 383
□1. ☑2. □3. ☑4. □5. □6. □7. □8. □9. □10.			
CITY: Los Angeles	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90012	

Dated: August 30, 2010

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

in sanctions.

• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.

• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.

other parties to the action or proceeding.

Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/

Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Nealigent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

世界人のは人が関

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition