-1	STEVEN P. KRAKOWSKY ATTORNEY AT LAW	OF ORIGINAL FILED		
2	State Bar No. 94047	Los Angeles Superior Court		
3	1875 Century Park East			
	Suite 2000	MAY 2 1 2012		
4	Los Angeles, California 90067	John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk		
5	(310) 552-7525 (310) 772-0989 Fax	By D. McKinney, Deputy		
6	A C. DI-:-A:CC MICHAEL CANCIET	e		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MICHAEL SANCHEZ And AXIS MANAGEMENT, INC.			
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
11	COGNITOIL	SC117133		
12		The state of the s		
13	MICHAEL SANCHEZ, an individual; AXIS MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation,) CASE NO		
	Plaintiffs,) COMPLAINT FOR:		
14	VS.) 1. Breach of Written Contract;		
15	MARY MURPHY, an individual; MARY	2. Breach of Oral Contract; and3. Declaratory Relief.		
16	MURPHY PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California) 5. Declaratory Relief.		
17	corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,			
18	Defendants.			
19		H. CHESTER HORN, JR.		
20		CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
21		SEP 0 5 2012		
22		Date		
23	*	4.20 du/		
24	*	0) 1 .		
25				
26				
27.		¥		
28				
	1			
	COMPLAINT			

1 2 3 4 5 6	STEVEN P. KRAKOWSKY ATTORNEY AT LAW State Bar No. 94047 1875 Century Park East Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 552-7525 (310) 772-0989 Fax Attorneys for Plaintiffs MICHAEL SANCHEZ		
7 8	And AXIS MANAGEMENT, INC.		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF LO	NO ANICEI EC	
11	COUNTY OF LC	O ANUELES	
12	MICHAEL SANCHEZ an individual: AXIS	CASE NO.	
13	MICHAEL SANCHEZ, an individual; AXIS MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation,	0.102.101	
14	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
15	vs.	1. Breach of Written Contract;	
16	MARY MURPHY, an individual; MARY	2. Breach of Oral Contract; and 3. Declaratory Relief.	
17	MARY MURPHY, an individual; MARY MURPHY PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	g.		
25			
26		2	
27			
28			
20		**	
	1		. In the Stational of the
	COMPI	LAINT	

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Michael Sanchez ("Sanchez") is an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff Axis Management, Inc. ("Axis") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Sanchez is the principal of Axis.
- Defendant Mary Murphy ("Murphy") is an individual residing in the County of Los
 Angeles, State of California.
- 4. Defendant Mary Murphy Productions, Inc. ("MMPI") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. MMPI is a "loan out" corporation to provide the personal services of Murphy.
- 5. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1 through 20 and therefore sue them by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true names of the Doe Defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that the Doe were in some way responsible for, participated in or contributed to the matters and things detailed in this Complaint and therefore should assume legal responsibility.
- 6. Each of the Defendants and/or their predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries and related entities, were the agents, servants, employees, fellow members, associates and/or joint-ventures of each of the other remaining Defendants. Each of the Defendants acted within the purpose and scope of the agency, employment or joint venture, and with the express and/or implied knowledge, consent, advice and/or permission of the remaining Defendants. The acts of each Defendant were approved, adopted and/or ratified by each other and constitute a single course of conduct.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 7. Axis is a well-established management company and Sanchez is a well-respected personal manager specializing in taking unknown talent within the entertainment industry and building those clients into a brand.
- 8. In 2005, prior to receiving the benefit of Sanchez' services, Murphy attempted to represent herself in negotiations to appear in a *Core Rhythms* dance exercise video "infomercial." Murphy netted only a \$5,000.00 "location fee" to shoot the infomercial in Murphy's *Champion Ballroom Academy* and no compensation for Murphy's on-camera testimonial.
- 9. On February 23, 2006, Sanchez and Axis entered into a management agreement with Murphy and MMPI (the "2006 Agreement"). At that time, Murphy was a relatively unknown dance instructor with a struggling dance studio, performing part-time, with a week-to-week arrangement (i.e. no long-term contract) as a reality T.V. judge and choreographer on Fox TV's So You Think You Can Dance. The terms of the management agreement are set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto. The agreement provided, in pertinent part, that plaintiffs "will receive 10% of all entertainment-related earnings" and "any commission generating projects will continue to do so until said project is completed."
- 10. From 2006 through January of 2010, Murphy and Sanchez operated under the terms of the 2006 Agreement.
- 11. In 2006, following difficult negotiations with representatives from So You Think You Can Dance production companies 19 Entertainment, Inc. ("19 Entertainment") and Dick Clark Productions, Inc. ("DCP"), and as a result of Sanchez' guidance and advice, Murphy received the

first individual salary increase So You Think You Can Dance producers had ever approved, along with Most Favored Nations protection.

- 12. In 2007, Murphy advised Sanchez that she wanted to "try to get \$10,000.00" for a second *Core Rhythms* infomercial appearance. Sanchez explained that \$10,000.00 was ridiculously low and, with his guidance and advice, Murphy was able to obtain a lucrative deal which included a large upfront payment and a significant back-end component. A true copy of this Agreement is attached heretofore as Exhibit 2.
- 13. In 2007, despite Murphy's increasingly self-absorbed, childish and demanding behavior which created tension behind-the-scenes and on the So You Think You Can Dance set, and after another difficult negotiation with So You Think You Can Dance executive producer/19 Entertainment president Nigel Lythgoe, as a result of Sanchez' guidance and advice, Murphy received the first full season contract as a "Season Judge." A true copy of this Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 14. In November 2007, Murphy's erratic and demanding behavior during a Las Vegas meet-and-greet with producers from ITV's popular U.K. television series, *Dancing On Ice*, caused Murphy to lose an invaluable appearance, for which a deal had been negotiated.
- 15. In 2008, frustrated by 19 Entertainment and Lythgoe's lack of cooperation in building Murphy's brand, Sanchez reached out to the international distributor of So You Think You Can Dance. As a result of Sanchez' guidance and advice, Murphy obtained lucrative guest starring appearances on the Norwegian, Australian and Canadian versions of the show.
- 16. In 2008, Sanchez/Axis and Murphy/MMPI modified their existing management relationship, the terms of which are attached hereto as Exhibit 4, including the following provision which Murphy specifically requested to carve out income from her growing list of non-televised

projects: "Sanchez shall not be entitled to commission with respect to... Champion Ballroom Academy, The Holiday Dance Classic, private dance instruction and non-recorded dance-related projects;" and the following provision which clarified the provision mentioned in paragraph 9 (above): "Sanchez' Commission shall be payable upon all Gross Compensation ... pursuant to: (i) any and all engagements, contracts, commitments and agreements now in existence or entered into or negotiated during the Term; (ii) any and all engagements, contracts, commitments and agreements negotiated and entered into after the expiration of the Term to the extent such contracts, commitments and agreements pertain to work performed, in whole or in part, by Murphy during the Term; (iii) any and all extensions, renewals, substitutions, replacements, amendments, additions and modifications of all such contracts, engagements, commitments and agreements..."

- 17. In 2008, as a result of Sanchez' guidance and advice, Murphy received a lucrative, three-year renewal of the So You Think You Can Dance contract with the title of "Permanent Judge." This deal infuriated Lythgoe, coming after Murphy rejected 19 Entertainment's "final" low ball offer and Fox TV executives overruled Lythgoe and approved the final deal. A true copy of this agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
- 18. In 2008, Murphy began a personal relationship with Walter Mosley, an attorney she met at a So You Think You Can Dance taping. In November 2008, without Sanchez' involvement and at Mosley's suggestion, Murphy signed the "Eyecon" recording deal, a deal which breached Murphy's So You Think You Can Dance Agreement. A copy of this Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
- 19. In 2009, with the assistance of counsel (Raskin, Peter, Rubin & Simon), Sanchez was able to save Murphy's position on So You Think You Can Dance and, for all intents and purposes, killed the Eyecon deal.

- 20. Murphy's relationship with Raskin, Peter, Rubin & Simon ended acrimoniously when Murphy refused to pay their full fees after the *Eyecon* fiasco and while they were advising Murphy during Murphy's latest *So You Think You Can Dance* renewal negotiations.
- In 2009, Murphy's bitter jealousy over *Emmy*-winning *So You Think You Can Dance* choreographer Mia Michaels success led her to threaten to "fire" Sanchez if he ever signed Ms. Michaels as a client. Sanchez put the possibility of working with Ms. Michaels on the back burner until late 2009 when he negotiated Ms. Michael's contract for *So You Think You Can Dance* Australia with Murphy's blessing. Murphy approved of the temporary representation arrangement because she believed Sanchez would share confidential details from Ms. Michaels' agreements with her. When Sanchez refused to share any confidential information, Murphy had a meltdown in her Los Angeles apartment and again threatened, "I'll fire you if you ever help Mia again."
- 22. In 2010, Sanchez/Axis and Murphy/MMPI modified their existing management relationship, the terms of which are attached hereto as Exhibit 7, including a revision/addition which Murphy specifically requested to carve out income from Los Angeles Dancesport, a dance competition she had recently purchased.
- 23. By 2010, Sanchez had molded Mary Murphy and MMPI into a global brand generating millions of dollars in revenue through the following vehicles, including, but not limited to: (a) So You Think You Can Dance U.S.; (b) So You Think You Can Dance Australia; (c) So You Think You Can Dance Canada; (d) So You Think You Can Dance Norway; (e) Core Rhythms Spokeswoman; (f) A featured role co-starring with A-list actors like Leighton Meester, Kaley Cuoco and Paul Wesley in Killer Movie, a film produced by Sanchez; (g) A role in the MTV/CTV television series Degrassi; (h) A featured role in Burn the Floor, Murphy's Broadway debut; (i) Appearances

on major television shows such as American Idol, Ellen, Chelsea Lately, Jane Vellez Mitchell, Wendy Williams, Rachel Ray and Larry King Live.

- 24. In addition the management services described above, Sanchez also provided the following services, without additional compensation, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) Public Relations;
 - (b) Writing Murphy's So You Think You Can Dance "one-liners;"
 - (c) Rehearsing Murphy's scripted So You Think You Can Dance reactions;
 - (d) Coordinating Murphy's styling needs (e.g. hair, make-up, wardrobe, jewelry);
- (e) Negotiation of Murphy's most recent divorce, from Phillip Gott [prior to Sanchez' discovery that Murphy was willfully defrauding Gott by hiding assets and engaging Vi Aguilar, Murphy's dance studio manager, to deposit cash in a "dual-key" bank safe deposit box];
- (f) Counseling Murphy on financial matters and staffing issues related to her moneylosing dance studio, including advising her to adopt Aguilar's recommendation that the studio accept credit cards for charges under \$10.00, a common sense practice Murphy previously banned because she erroneously believed the credit card company would charge \$10.00 per transaction;
- (g) Counseling Murphy on personal issues, including: (i) her self-described "depression;" "suicidal thoughts;" and "addiction to plastic surgery" which caused Murphy to undergo multiple, secret operations; and (ii) prescription and illegal drug abuse which caused Murphy to miss and/or underperform in meetings and major P.R. appearances, including a series of 2009 radio interviews, after which Sanchez was forced to enlist the help of *The Palazzo* security personnel to break into Murphy's apartment when she was unresponsive, and, following a cocaine-fueled evening of partying, a *Mardi Gras* appearance in Sydney, Australia. After the missed *Mardi*

Gras appearance, Sanchez and another witness found Murphy in her hotel suite, high on drugs, in bed with a So You Think You Can Dance producer, with cocaine on the nightstand;

- (h) Brand Protection, including the cover-up of Murphy's many inappropriate sexual relationships with So You Think You Can Dance and Chelsea Lately crew members, as well as other questionable men, including a drug dealer, a married U.S. Naval officer who Murphy met online, and a faux "prince" who had allegedly duped other wealthy, older women; all of which led Sanchez to conclude Murphy had become a desperate, cocaine-fueled nymphomaniac.
- (i) Confidential hiring and coordination of a professional trainer and a worldrenowned nutritionist, after Murphy failed to meet contractual weight loss obligations required by her lucrative *Core Rhythms* deal;
- (j) Curing Murphy's breaches of her So You Think You Can Dance U.S. agreement including the Eyecon matter, Murphy's practice of violating Fox TV rules (and U.S. game show fixing laws) by secretly coaching and working with So You Think You Can Dance ballroom contestants behind-the-scenes, contestants who she later judged; and Murphy's demands that So You Think You Can Dance producers provide her with alcohol (disguised in a Coke cup) to drink during studio tapings;
- (k) Salvaging Murphy's October 2009 Us Weekly cover story "How I Survived Abuse" after the magazine's attorneys discovered several of Murphy's stories were lies and/or contained material omissions, including Murphy's claim that her allegedly abusive ex-husband Mohamad Masri Elyafaoui ("Mohamad") was deceased, when, in fact, he was alive; the previously undisclosed fact that Murphy married Mohamad twice; and the fact that it was Mohamad, not Murphy, who filed for divorce; counter to what Murphy claimed; and

- (l) Developing plausible stories for the media when Murphy's desperate thirst for fame caused her to lie about and/or fake illness or injury, including the time she faked an ankle injury at the Kids' Choice Awards.
- 25. In November 2009, after Murphy's lies and omissions related to the *Us Weekly* "abuse" story became an issue, Murphy attempted to shift the focus away from her deceit with a manufactured "assassination" plot in which she
 - (a) claimed she was afraid Mohamad would "kill" her,
 - (b) filed a police report claiming her home had been burglarized,
- (c) instructed Sanchez to meet with So You Think You Can Dance U.S. and Canada security personnel, and
- (d) consulted with outside security experts; including soliciting bids for security systems at Murphy's home and dance studio, none of which were ever installed.
- 26. In 2009, with the assistance of Murphy's new attorney, Joe Carlone, Sanchez provided guidance and advice with respect to the continued renewal negotiations with 19 Entertainment.
- 27. Based on the contentious 2007 negotiations, Sanchez, Murphy, her former attorneys (Peter and Rubin) and Carlone knew Lythgoe was intent on eliminating Murphy's "Permanent" status. As such, they developed a strong negotiation strategy which included plans to once again go directly to Fox if/when negotiations with 19 Entertainment collapsed.
- 28. With Carlone's advice to counter 19 Entertainment's initial low ball offer at "around a million a year," advice of which Sanchez agreed and Murphy approved, 19 Entertainment was presented with an initial counter offer which would net Murphy approximately \$1,000.000.00 for the 2010 season (depending on the number of episodes ordered by Fox).

- 29. Sanchez and Carlone developed a strong legal and PR strategy to protect Murphy's "Permanent Judge" status on So You Think You Can Dance after it became clear Lythgoe was planning to use Murphy's erratic behavior and contract breaches to demote Murphy to "part-time" status; including but not limited to:
- (a) Going directly to Fox TV executives as had been done when the previous 2008 negotiations with 19 Entertainment collapsed;
- (b) The possibility of joining forces with Lauren Sanchez, the original host of So You

 Think You Can Dance (and another of Sanchez' clients), who Lythgoe fired after she became pregnant; and
- (c) Utilizing AFTRA union resources to prevent Lythgoe from executing his plans to demote Murphy.
- 30. From the beginning of their professional relationship in 2006, through mid-2009, Sanchez and Murphy operated under the following accounting procedures:
 - (a) Sanchez collected all entertainment related compensation (per industry practice),
 - (b) Sanchez made copies of the checks,
- (c) Sanchez sent Murphy the check along with an invoice for his ten percent (10%) commission, and
 - (d) Murphy sent Sanchez a check for his 10% commission.
- 31. The industry standard accounting arrangement outlined in paragraph 29 worked perfectly until Murphy lost checks in mid-2009 totaling approximately \$50,000.00.
- 32. As a result of Murphy's negligence, Sanchez was forced to request that 19 Entertainment/DCP process a "stop payment" and re-issue the lost checks. The checks were later found in the trunk of Murphy's car but, rather than apologize for the stress and payment delay her

mismanagement caused, Murphy demanded that Sanchez set up an entirely new accounting process whereby all checks would be sent to her first and then she would send Sanchez his 10% commission. Sanchez agreed to Murphy's demands and created an entirely new accounting process for Murphy; separate from the accounting process he used for all of his other clients.

- 33. Murphy compounded the stress created by her loss of the checks by demanding an audit of Sanchez' books. Sanchez complied with Murphy's demand, allowing Murphy's accountant to go through all of his files. The result: after hundreds of transactions involving millions of dollars over four years, Murphy's accountant gave Sanchez his seal of approval.
- 34. On February 22, 2010, without cause, Murphy terminated the management relationship with Plaintiffs via an email message ("Exhibit 8").
- 35. Murphy has breached her agreements by failing to pay Sanchez/Axis the commissions owed, per their agreements.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Written Contracts - Against All Defendants)

- 36. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 37. Plaintiffs allege that on or about February 23, 2006, February 10, 2008 and again on February 10, 2010 written agreements were made between plaintiffs and defendants, copies of which are attached as Exhibits 1, 4 and 7. Although Murphy did not sign the three agreements, she ratified the terms of the three agreements and, up until February 22, 2010, the parties' respective financial arrangements were in all respect in accordance with the terms of the three written agreements.
- 38. Since February 22, 2010, defendants have breached the terms of the agreements by failing to pay plaintiffs any of the commissions owed per their agreements.

- 39. Plaintiffs have performed all obligations to defendants except those obligations plaintiffs were prevented from or excused from performing.
- 40. Plaintiffs suffered damages proximately caused by defendants' breaches of their agreements. Through the present date, plaintiffs have suffered monetary damages in an amount subject to proof, but for purposes of pleading, in an amount in excess of \$164,660.00. Plaintiffs also reasonably anticipate that they will suffer future monetary damages in an amount subject to proof, but for purposes of pleading, in an amount in excess of \$1,000,000.00.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Oral Contracts - Against All Defendants)

- 41. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 42. Plaintiffs allege that on or about February 23, 2006, February 10, 2008 and again on February 10, 2010, oral agreements were made between plaintiffs and defendants, the essential elements of which are memorialized in Exhibits 1, 4 and 7.
- 43. Since February 22, 2010, defendants have breached the terms of the agreements by failing to pay plaintiffs any of the commissions owed per their agreements.
- 44. Plaintiffs have performed all obligations to defendants except those obligations plaintiffs were prevented from or excused from performing.
- 45. Plaintiffs suffered damages proximately caused by defendants' breaches of their agreements. Through the present date, plaintiffs have suffered monetary damages in an amount

subject to proof, but for purposes of pleading, in an amount in excess of \$164,660.00. Plaintiffs also reasonably anticipate that they will suffer future monetary damages in an amount subject to proof, but for purposes of pleading, in an amount in excess of \$1,000,000.00.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Against All Defendants)

- 46. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between plaintiffs and defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that plaintiffs contend that defendants are obligated to continue to pay to plaintiffs 10% of all of defendants' future entertainment-related earnings from So You Think You Can Dance and, except for those projects specifically excluded in the February 23, 2006, February 10, 2008 and February 10, 2010 agreements, any other commission generating projects that were in existence as of February 22, 2010. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that defendants dispute these contentions, and contend that all of defendants' obligations to plaintiffs terminated as of February 22, 2010.
- 48. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that plaintiffs may ascertain their rights under their agreements with defendants.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Axis Management, Inc. and Michael Sanchez pray for judgment as follows:

ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

1. For monetary damages in the sum of \$164,660.00 for present damages.