COURT COPY

2

JPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT

3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE O	OF CALIFORNIA,				REPORT SEQUENCE NO.: 01
4		Plaintiff			COURT-DEPT/DIV	COURT CASE NO./DEF. ID
4		vs		3/2011	LAX-144	
5	LINDSAY DEE LOHAN					
		Defe	endant	-		
6				-	ATTORNEY	PROSECUTOR
	ADDRESS PRESENT		DPO	WARTZ	HOLLY	MEYERS
7	ADDRESS PRESENT			ECA		AREA OFFICE
8			ZER	EGA		CAI
٥	BIRTH DATE GENDER	ETHNICITY		PRE-CO	ONVICTION (PC 1203.7) REPORT
9	FEMALE	WHITE			RECOMME	NDATION:
10	DRIVER'S LICENSE - EXPIRATIO	N DATE	■ ⊠ F	ROBATION		CDCR-DIV OF JUVENILE
	PROBATION NO. SID NO					JUSTICE
11	X2053170			ENIAL	V 1A11	
	CITIZENSHIP STATUSBORN IN	N THE U.S.				DIAGNOSTIC STUDY WIC707.2
12	ALIEN REGISTRATION NO.:	N/A				PC1203.03
13	ESTIMATED DAYS IN JAIL THIS C	CASE: 1 BOOKING NO.: 1394692		THER:		700 50
13	CUSTODY STATUS: RELEASI	ED ON BAIL			Ц	730 EC
14	RELEASE DATE: 02/09/2011					
		PRESENT	OFFENSE: LE	GAL HISTOR	RY	
16 17 18 19		L CODE (GRAND THEFT), of (include priors, enhanceme PORT.		RCUMSTANCES)	
21						
22	CONVICTED BY DATE OF REFERRAL COUNT(S) CONTINUED TO P&S					
,,	N/A 02/09/2011 N/A					
23	PROPOSED PLEA AGREEMENT		INFORMATION		220	
24	N/A D.A. PACKET; TCIS; PROBATION RECORDS DATE(S) OF OFFENSE TIME(S)					
25	01/22/2011		1738			
26	(SEE PRIOR ON FORM	☐ SENTENCED TO STATE PRISMAL/SUMMARY PROBATION [PROBATION VIOLATION [CASE	IME	HOLDS/WARRANTS ☑ NO ☐ YES

2	PRESENT (URCES OF INFORMATI POLICE REPORT (S) COURT RECORDS	☐ DISTRICT ATTORNEY	DISTRICT ATTORNEY THER: LOCATION OF ARREST LAX COURTHOUSE LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S OFFICE SPOSITION HE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE CRIME				
4	ARREST DATE	TIME	BOOKED AS	OFFENSE	LOCATION OF ARREST	ARRESTING AGENCY				
5	00/00/00/		LOHAN, LINDSAY	487(A) PC						
						SHERIFF'S OFFICE				
6										
7										
8										
9	CO DEFENDANT(S)									
10	N/A			COURT CASE NO.	DISPOSITION					
11					,					
12										
13										
14	ELEMENTS AND RELEVANT CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE:									
15 IT IS ALLEGED, ON JANUARY 22, 2011, THE DEFENDANT COMMIT					MITTED THE CRIME					
16	OF "OR AND THEFT OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.									
17	DID UNLAWFU	LLY TAKE F	PERSONAL PROPERTY	OF A VALUE EX	CEEDING \$2500, TO WIT	A NECKLACE, THE				
18	PROPERTY OF I	KAMOFIE & (COMPANY, AND THE VI	CTIM, SOFIA K.						
19			ACCORDING TO THE	POLICE REPORT	Γ, ON 01/23/11, THE VICTIM	SOFIA K., WHO IS				
20	ALSO THE OW	VNER OF "K	AMOFIE & CO." JEWI	ELRY STORE, V	WALKED INTO THE LOS	ANGELES POLICE				
21	DEPARTMENT'S	S PACIFIC ST	ATION TO FILE A GRAN	D THEFT REPOR	T. THE VICTIM STATED T	THAT ON 01/22/2011,				
22	THE DEFENDANT AND A MALE COMPANION ENTERED HER STORE, AND THE DEFENDANT REQUESTED TO TRY									
23	ON A NECKLACE. THE VICTIM SAID THE DEFENDANT TOOK OFF A NECKLACE FROM AROUND HER NECK AND									
24	PUT ON THE ST	ORE NECKLA	ACE. THE DEFENDANT	THEN PROCEED	ED TO WALK AROUND TH	E STORE WEARING				
25	THE STORE NEC	CKLACE FOR	SEVERAL MINUTES.							
26			THE VICTIM REPORTI	ED THAT AFTER	A SHORT WHILE, THE DE	FENDANT TURNED				
27	HER ATTENTIO	N TO A RING	G THAT WAS FOR SALE	FOR \$3600. TH	E DEFENDANT OFFERED	THE STOREOWNER				

(VICTIM) \$3000 IN CASH FOR THE RING, BUT THE STOREOWNER DECLINED THE OFFER. AT THAT POINT, THE

STOREOWNER OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT PLACE HER OWN NECKLACE BACK ON HER NECK. THE DEFENDANT THEN STATED, "OK, WE'LL COME BACK TOMORROW." SHORTLY THEREAFTER, THE DEFENDANT AND HER COMPANION LEFT THE STORE. THE STOREOWNER RELATED THAT AS SHE WAS CLOSING THE STORE, SHE REALIZED THE STORE'S NECKLACE THAT THE DEFENDANT TRIED ON WAS MISSING.

ON 01/25/2011, POLICE OFFICERS REVIEWED THE STORE VIDEO SURVEILLANCE OF THE INCIDENT. THE POLICE OFFICERS REPORTED THAT IN THE VIDEO THEY OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT REMOVE HER PERSONAL NECKLACES AND PLACE THEM ON THE STORE COUNTER. THE DEFENDANT THEN TRIED ON NUMEROUS RINGS AND THREE DIFFERENT NECKLACES, INCLUDING THE NECKLACE WHICH WAS REPORTED STOLEN. THE TAPE REVEALS THE DEFENDANT CONTINUED TO SHOP WHILE WEARING THE NECKLACE WHICH WAS REPORTED STOLEN, AND THAT SHE NEVER TOOK IT OFF. THE VIDEO TAPE FURTHER REVEALS THAT PRIOR TO LEAVING THE STORE, THE DEFENDANT PUT HER OWN NECKLACES BACK ON HER NECK ALONG WITH THE STORE NECKLACE SHE ALREADY WORE. THE VICTIM THEN LEFT THE STORE WEARING THE STOLEN NECKLACE AROUND HER NECK WITHOUT PAYING FOR IT.

ON 01/27/2011, THE VICTIM INFORMED OFFICERS SHE OBSERVED A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE DEFENDANT WEARING THE MISSING NECKLACE ON A CELEBRITY WEBSITE. THE OFFICERS DISCOVERED THE PICTURE WAS TAKEN BY A VENICE AREA PAPARAZZI.

SUPERIOR COURT ON 02/01/2011. HOWEVER, PRIOR TO SEARCHING THE DEFENDANT'S RESIDENCE, DETECTIVES MADE CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT'S ASSISTANT. THE ASSISTANT REPORTED THE DEFENDANT LEARNED OF THE STORY THROUGH THE INTERNET AND WAS VERY UPSET. THE DEFENDANT'S ASSISTANT ALSO ADVISED OFFICERS THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS IN POSSESSION OF THE NECKLACE AND WANTED TO GIVE BACK THE NECKLACE TO THE STORE THE DAY AFTER SHE TOOK IT, BUT SHE WAS VERY BUSY. THE ASSISTANT REPORTED THAT ON 02/01/2011, THE DEFENDANT HANDED HER (THE ASSISTANT) THE NECKLACE AND TOLD HER TO DELIVER IT TO THE STORE; HOWEVER, SHE INDICATED HER ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT THE STORE BY TELEPHONE WERE UNSUCCESSFUL. POLICE DETECTIVES ADVISED THE ASSISTANT TO RETURN THE NECKLACE TO THE PACIFIC AREA POLICE STATION. THE DEFENDANT'S ASSISTANT QUICKLY SURRENDERED THE NECKLACE TO POLICE OFFICERS AT THE PACIFIC STATION.

1	VICTIM:		SOURCES OF IN	FORMATION (THIS	
2			☐ POLICE RE ☑ VICTIM(S)		☐ DISTRICT ATTORNEY ☐ OTHER:
3			Z VIOTIM(O)		OTHER:
4	NAME			COUNT(S)	
7	KAMOFIE & COMPA	NY (SOFIA K.)		01	
5					
6	NECKLACE VALUED	(TYPE / COST / ETC.) O AT \$2500 (RECOVERE)	D)		
7	THE CELEVILLE THE CELEVILLE	AT \$2500 (RECOVERED	D).		
/					
8	LOSS: YES NO	ESTIMATED LOSS	RESTITUTION A	LREADY MADE	APPLIED FOR VICTIM RESTITUTION FUND
9		NONE	NONE		☐ UNK ☐ YES ☒ NO
10	VICTIM STATEMENT:				
10					E VICTIM BY TELEPHONE. THE VICTIM
11					R STORE HAS BEEN OVERWHELMED WITH
12					FOR ONE AND A HALF DAYS DUE TO THE
1.2					ESULT OF HAVING TO CLOSE HER STORE.
13					"SLEW" OF HATE EMAILS ACCUSING HER
14					AND PERSONAL LIFE ARE CONSTANTLY
15					O "STRANGE PEOPLE." SHE SAID SHE HAD
16	TO STOP ANSWERIN	G HER PHONE AT HER	STORE AND IT IS	AFFECTING H	HER DAY-TO-DAY BUSINESS.
16					IA ATTENTION AND THE CONSTANT
17					AID SHE IS A NERVOUS WRECK AND SHE
18					ED OTHER PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH
10					UBLE SLEEPING, AND INCREASED HEART
19	RATE. SHE NOTED A	T ONE POINT SHE DID	NOT EAT FOR TH	REE DAYS DU	JE TO THE STRESS.
20					WELRY IS CURRENTLY IN POSSESSION OF
21					IS FOR THE DEFENDANT TO ADMIT HER
22				TENCING AN	D BELIEVES THE COURT WILL MAKE THE
22	APPROPRIATE SENTI	ENCING DETERMINATION	ON.		
23					
24		TOTAL NUMBER OF VICTIMS	ESTIMATED LOSS TO	ALL VICTIMS 1	ICTIM(S) NOTIFIED OF HEARING PURSUANT TO PC 1191.1
25	RESTITUTION	01	NONE		YES NO NOTIFIED BY MAIL /I/O
25	DOES DEFENDANT HAVE INS	SURANCE TO COVER RESTITUTI	ION:		MPANY NAME/ADDRESS/TELEPHONE NO.
26	☐ YES ☐ NO	UNKNOWN (NOT INTERV	/IEWED)	UNKNOWN	
27					
28				AD	DITIONAL VICTIM NEXT PAGE

1	PRIOR RECORD:	SOURCES OF INFORMATION (TH	HIS PAGE)	
2		☐ CII ☐ CCHRS		⊠ TCIS ☐ DEFENDANT
3		□ cws	JAIN	□ PPT+
4		OTHER:	SRF	⊠ PIMS
4				
5				
6				
7	AKAs:			
8	LINDSAY DEE LOHAN; LINDSAY LOH	IAN.		
9	CRIMINAL REGISTRATION INFOR	MATION:		
10	OFFENDER REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION REQUIRED DUE TO PRIOR CONVICTION	REGISTRATIO OCCURS (ON REQUIRED IF CONVICTION ON THE INSTANT CHARGE
	SEX OFFENDER PC 290 PC			
12	DRUG OFFENDER H&S 11590			
13	ARSON OFFENDER PC 457.1 CRIMINAL STREET GANG PC 186.30			
14	CRIMINAL STREET GANG PC 186.30	Ш		
	JUVENILI	E HISTORY:		
15		ATION IS NOT AVAILABLE	TUDOLICU DDO	DATION DEPARTMENT
16				
17	INQUIRY FIVE YEARS AFTER J		TY IS TERMINA	TED. CII RECORDS DO
18	NOT INDICATE A JUVENILE ARI	REST RECORD.		
19	ADULT H	ISTORY:		
20		Y HILLS POLICE DEPA	RTMENT -	23152(A) VC (DUI
	ALCOHO (HIT AN	L/DRUGS); 23152(B) VC (DUI . D RUN). DEFENDANT RE	ALCOHOL/0.08 I ELEASED ON C	PERCENT); 20002(A) VC
21	CONSOL	IDATED INTO CASE 7BV01538	(SEE BELOW).	THE THEODINE THE THE
22	7-24-07 SANTA M	MONICA POLICE DEPARTMEN	NT - 11350(A) HS	S (POSSESS NARCOTIC
23	CONTRO	LLED SUBSTANCE); 4573 PC	C (BRING CONT	ROLLED SUBSTANCE
24	ALCOHO	AIL); 23152(A) VC (DRIVI L/DRUGS); 14061.2(A) (DRIVE	WITH SUSPEND	ED LICENSE: DRIVING
	UNDER	THE INFLUENCE, SPECIFIC).	ON 08/23/200	7, CASE BH-7BV01538
25	UNDER	GAINST DEFENDANT ALLEGI THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOH	OL/DRUGS); CO	OUNT 02: 23152(B) VC
26	(DRIVING	G UNDER THE INFLUENCE A	ALCOHOL/0.08 I	PERCENT), COUNT 03.
27	23152(A)	HS (UNDER INFLUENCE OF CO VC (DRIVING UNDER THE	INFLUENCE C	OF ALCOHOL/DRUGS):
	COUNT 0:	5: 23152(B) VC (DRIVING UND	ER THE INFLUE	NCE OF ALCOHOL 0.08
28	SUBSTAN	"); COUNT 06: 11550(A) HS (L ICE); COUNT 07: 23103(A) VC	INDEK INFLUEN C (RECKLESS DI	NCE OF CONTROLLED UVING WITH INJURY.

28

1

2

ON 8-27-07, CONVICTED COUNT 02: 23152(B) VC, A MISDEMEANOR - 36 MONTHS PROBATION, \$390 FINE; CONVICTED COUNT 05: 23152(B) VC, A MISDEMEANOR - 36 MONTHS PROBATION, 96 HOURS JAIL; CONVICTED COUNT 07: 23103(A) VC, A MISDEMEANOR - 36 MONTHS PROBATION. REGARDING COUNTS 03: 11550(A) HS AND COUNT 06: 11550(A) HS, THE DEFENDANT WAS GRANTED DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT DIVERSION PROGRAM. ON 7-6-2010, DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION ON ALL COUNTS, ORDERED 30 DAYS JAIL FOR EACH COUNT TO BE SERVED CONSECUTIVELY, DEFENDANT ALSO ORDERED TO RESIDE IN RESIDENTIAL 90 INPATIENT PROGRAM, PROBATION REINSTATED AS A FORMAL GRANT OF PROBATION. ON 8-25-10, COUNT 03 AND 06, DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT TERMINATED, SET ASIDE AND DISMISSED PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1000.3. ON 9-20-10, PROBATION REVOKED. ON 10-22-10, PROBATION VIOLATION, PROBATION REINSTATED, DEFENDANT ORDERED TO REMAIN AT BETTY FORD CLINIC. ON 2-9-11, PROBATION REVOKED PENDING CURRENT MATTER.

DNA

- ☐ CII RECORDS INDICATE A VERIFIED DNA SAMPLE IS ON FILE WITH THE CAL-DNA DATA BANK.
- SHOULD DEFENDANT BE CONVICTED OF THE INSTANT FELONY CHARGES, THERE IS A REQUIREMENT PURSUANT TO 296 P.C. THAT DEFENDANT WILL NEED TO PROVIDE A DNA SAMPLE.
- ☐ OTHER:

PERSONAL HISTORY: SOURCES OF INFORMATION (THIS PAGE)			HIS PAGE)				
		☑ PROBATION RECORDS	☑ POLICE REPORT (S)				
2		☐ DEFENDANT	☑ COURT RECORDS				
2		O.R. REPORT	☐ OTHER:				
3							
4	CUDCTANCE ADUCE						
	SUBSTANCE ABUSE:						
5	_ NO RECORD, INDICATION, OR ADMISSION	OF ALCOHOL OR CONTROL	I ED CLIDOTANICE ADVICE				
	_ No Neodra, INDIO/(TION, OR ADMISSION (SF ALCOHOL OR CONTROL	LLED SUBSTANCE ABUSE.				
6	_ OCCASIONAL SOCIAL OR EXPERIMENTAL U	JSE OF ACKNOWLED	OGED.				
7	X SEE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BELOW: IN	NDICATION / ADMISSION OF	SIGNIFICANT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEM.				
8	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:						
9	THE DESENDANT	T WAC NOT DITTON					
10	URDERS; HOWEVER, THE DEFENDANT'S CL	JRRENT GRANT OF PRO	ED IN ACCORDANCE WITH COURT BATION (BH-7BV01538) INCLUDES				
10	I WO CONVICTIONS FOR DRIVING WHILE INT	TOXICATED. COURT REC	ORDS INDICATE THE DEFENDANT				
11	WAS ALSO GRANTED TWO DEFERRED ENTR COUNTS OF 11550(A) HS (UNDER INFLUENCE	Y OF JUDGMENT DRUG	DIVERSION PROGRAMS FOR TWO				
	7BV01538). IN ADDITION, THE DEFENDANT	WAS ORDERED TO CO	MPLETE A OODAY DESIDENTIAL				
12	DRUG PROGRAM AND A SB-38 (D.U.I.) PROG	GRAM AS CONDITIONS O	OF PROBATION THE DEFENDANT				
13	PROVIDED PROOF OF COMPLETION OF HER S	SB-38 PROGRAM ON 08//2	5/10 HER GRANTS OF DEFERRED				
13	ENTRY OF JUDGMENT WERE TERMINATED AN	ND DISMISSED ON THE SA	AME DATE.				
14	ACCORDING TO COURT RECORDS, WHEN THE DEFENDANT'S GRANT OF						
	PROBATION WAS CONVERTED TO FORMAL PROBATION SUPERVISION, SHE WAS ORDERED TO ATTEND A 90 DAY INPATIENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM. COURT RECORDS REVEAL THE DEFENDANT						
15	RECEIVED TREATMENT AT UCLA MEDICAL C	SED ON 08/24/2010					
	PROBATION RECORD	RDS INDICATE THE DEE	FENDANT TESTED POSITIVE FOR				
16	COCAINE AND AMPHETAMINES ON 09/07/2010	. SHORTLY AFTER, THE I	DEFENDANT ENTERED INPATIENT				
17	TREATMENT AT THE BETTY FORD TREATME	ENT CENTER. PROBATION	ON RECORDS FURTHER INDICATE				
1 /	THE DEFENDANT HAS ATTENDED CHEMICA	L DEPENDENCY COUNS	ELING WITH GARY RICHMAN, A				
18	CERTIFIED ADDICTION COUNSELOR.	SE EDOM EVE DESERVE					
	THE DEFENDANT WAS TESTED BY THE PR	SE FROM THE BETTY F	ORD CENTER, JANUARY 03, 2011,				
19	RECORDS INDICATE SHE TESTED POSITIVE FO	DE ALCOHOL ON THE TES	FIVE OCCASIONS. PROBATION				
	THE STATE OF THE S	A ALCOHOL ON THE TES	31 DATE OF 02/08/2011.				
20	PHYSICAL / MENTAL / EMOTIONAL HEALTH:						
21							
21	NO INDICATION OR CLAIM OF SIGNIFICANT	PHYSICAL / MENTAL / EMO	TIONAL HEALTH PROBLEM.				
22							
	\underline{X} SEE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BELOW: IN	DICATION / CLAIM OF SIGN	IFICANT PHYSICAL / MENTAL / EMOTIONAL				
23	HEALTH PROBLEM.						
	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:						
24		CORDS INDICATE ON	01/19/2011, THE DEFENDANT				
2.5	INFORMED THE PROBATION OFFICER SHE WAS	TAKING THE FOLLOWIN	NG PRESCRIPTION MEDICATIONS				
25	TRAZADONE, NEXIM, YAZ, ZOLOFT, DOXYCY	ZYTHROMAX THE DEFENDANT					
26	STATED THE PRESCRIPTIONS WERE FOR T	EEP DISORDER ACID REFLUX					
20	ALLERGIES, AND STREP THROAT. IT SHOUL	LD ALSO BE NOTED THE	E DEFENDANT HAS PREVIOUSLY				
27	RECEIVED PSYCHOTHERAPY AT UCLA NEUROI	PSYCHIATRY HOSPITAL,	BY DR. LEE SADJA, AS ORDERED				
	BY THE COURT.						

PERSONAL HISTORY: (CONTINUED)			OURCES OF PROBATI DEFENDA O.R. REF			REPORT (S) RECORDS	
RESIDENCE	TYPE RESIDENCE	LENGTH OF OC	CUPANCY	MONTHLY REN	T / MORTGAGE	RESIDES WITH/RELATIONSHIP	>
RESIDENCE	UNKNOWN	UNKNO	OWN	UNKN	OWN	UNKNOWN	
RESIDENTIAL STABILITY LAST	Γ FIVE YEARS	CAME	TO STATE /	FROM		CAME TO COUNTY / FROM	
STABLE			YORK			NEW YORK	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATI	ON:		•				
	IIDON III	D MOOT DE	~~~				
						PROVIDED THE ADDRE	
LISTED ON THE FACE	SHEET AS HER	RESIDENCE.	PROBA	TION RECOR	DS INDICAT	TE A PREVIOUS RESIDE	NCE A
	THE REAL PROPERTY.	PROBATIO	N RECO	RDS FURTHE	R INDICATE	E THE DEFENDANT WAS	PODA
IN NEW YORK.		,			ic ii (Dioiii)	THE DEI ENDANT WAS	BORN
IN NEW TORK.							
MARRIAGE / PARENTHO	200	MARITA	AL STATUS		NAME OF SE	POUSE / COHABITANT	
	ЮВ	UNKI	NOWN		UNKNOW	VN	
LENGTH OF UNION UNKNOWN				THIS UNION	SUPPORTED		
NO. PRIOR MARRIAGES/COHAI	BITATIONS		NOWN	THESE UNIONS	UNKNOW		
UNKNOWN			NOWN		UNKNOW		
NO. OF OTHER CHILDREN			RTED BY				
UNKNOWN		UNKI	NOWN				
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	ON:						
	THE DEFI	ENDANT WA	S NOT I	NTERVIEWEI	O IN ACCOR	RDANCE WITH COURT	
ORDERS.							
FORMAL EDUCATION							
FORMAL EDUCATION: UNKNOWN							
51.2210 1111							

1	PERSONAL HISTORY: (CONTINUED)			URCES OF INFORM PROBATION REG DEFENDANT		os 🗵	POLICE	REPORT (S	5)
3				O.R. REPORT			OTHER	RECORDS:	
4	EMPLOYMENT STATUS	☐ EMPLOYED		EMPLOYER AWA	_		_		
5	PRESENT/LAST EMPLOYER/ADDRESS/P	HONE	OCCUP		YI		O OF EMPL		GROSS MONTHLY WAGE
6	N/A		ACTR	LESS		,	NOWN		UNKNOWN
7			1	YMENT STABILITY	LAST	5 YEARS	5 T	YPE OF PREV	VIOUS EMPLOYMENT
8	☐ VERIFIED ☐ UNVERIFIED		STAB	LE			E	NTERTAI	NMENT INDUSTRY
9	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:								
10		ROBATION R	ECORI	OS INDICATI	ЕТ	HE D	EFEND.	ANT REI	PORTED HER
11	OCCUPATION AS "ACTRESS."								
12									
12									
13									
14	FINANCIAL STATUS	UNKNOWN	ſΥ				IET MONTI JNKNO	HLY INCOME WN	
15	PRIMARY INCOME SOURCE	SECONDARY INC	OME SOU	IRCE(S)			TOTAL AS	SETS ES	STIMATED. TOTAL LIABILITIES
16	UNKNOWN MAJOR ASSETS / ESTIMATED VALUE	UNKNOWN			UN	KNOW	N	U	NKNOWN
17	UNKNOWN								
18									
	MAJOR LIABILITIES / ESTIMATED AMOUN	T (MONTHLY)							
19	UNKNOWN								
20									
21									
41	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:								
22	TI	HE DEFENDAN	T WAS	NOT INTERV	VIEW	VED IN	I ACCO	RDANCE	WITH COURT
23	ORDERS.			The second second			. 11000	MINOL	III COOKI
24									
25	GANG ACTIVITY YES	⊠ NO □ UN	K N	ame of Gang:					
26	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:								
27	ADDITIONAL INI ORIMATION.								
8									

DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH COURT ORDERS PROHIBITING PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTERVIEWS WITH DEFENDANT FOR PRE-PLEA PROBATION REPORTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE HAS NOT BEEN INTERVIEWED.

INTERESTED PARTIES:

ON 02/14/2011, THIS OFFICER CONTACTED THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER, DETECTIVE CASTRUITA, OF THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT. THE DETECTIVE STATED THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE INFORMED HER THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SUSPECTED OF SIMILAR CONDUCT IN OTHER JEWELRY STORES. HOWEVER, THEFT CHARGES HAVE NEVER BEEN PREVIOUSLY FILED AGAINST THE DEFENDANT. CASTRUITA RECOMMENDS THAT THE DEFENDANT BE GRANTED FORMAL PROBATION AND BE ORDERED TO SERVE AT LEAST SIX MONTHS IN COUNTY JAIL. THE DETECTIVE STATED THE DEFENDANT MUST UNDERSTAND THAT CRIMINAL CONDUCT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED.

CONDUCT UNDER SUPERVISION:

ON 08/23/2007, CASE BH-7BV01538, THE DEFENDANT WAS CONVICTED OF TWO COUNTS OF 23152(B) VC (DUI ALCOHOL/0.08 PERCENT) AND ONE COUNT OF 23103(A) VC (RECKLESS DRIVING WITH INJURY), RESULTING A 36 MONTH GRANT OF INFORMAL PROBATION, WITH CONDITIONS THAT INCLUDED: "PARTICIPATE IN AND COMPLETED A SECOND OFFENDER ALCOHOL AND OTHER DRUG EDUCATION COUNSELING PROGRAM (SB-38); ENROLL IN AND COMPLETE A 90-LIVE IN PROGRAM IN UTAH; DO NOT USE OR POSSESS ANY NARCOTICS, DANGEROUS OR RESTRICTED DRUGS OR ASSOCIATED PARAPHERNALIA; ENROLL AND COMPLETE A HOSPITAL AND MORGUE PROGRAM (HAM); PERFORM 10 DAYS OF COMMUNITY SERVICE; AND OBEY ALL LAWS AND ORDERS OF THE COURT."

ON 07/06/2010, THE DEFENDANT WAS FOUND IN VIOLATION OF HER CONDITIONS OF PROBATION FOR FAILING TO COMPLETE HER COURT ORDERED SB-38 PROGRAM. HER GRANT OF PROBATION WAS THEN CONVERTED TO FORMAL PROBATION UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT. THE TERMS OF HER FORMAL GRANT OF PROBATION, BH-7BV01538, INCLUDED RANDOM DRUG AND ALCOHOL TESTING THROUGH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, AND COMPLETION OF A 90-DAY IN-PATIENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM. PROBATION RECORDS INDICATE SHE ENTERED UCLA MEDICAL CENTER AS AN INPATIENT AND WAS

RELEASED ON 8/24/2010. ON 08/25/2010, THE DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONS OF PROBATION WERE MODIFIED TO INCLUDE: "DEFENDANT LOHAN WILL PARTICIPATE IN PSYCHOTHERAPY NO LESS THAN FOUR TIMES PER WEEK; DEFENDANT WILL HAVE A MINIMUM OF SEVEN CONTACTS PER WEEK RELATED TO CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY, AND TWO INDIVIDUAL CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY RELATED SESSIONS PER WEEK; DEFENDANT LOHAN WILL SUBMIT TO RANDOM ALCOHOL/DRUG TESTING AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT." ON 08/25/2010, THE DEFENDANT PROVIDED PROOF OF COMPLETION OF HER ORDERED SB-38 PROGRAM AND PROOF OF COMPLETION OF THE HOSPITAL AND MORGUE PROGRAM (HAM).

AFTER HER RELEASE FROM UCLA MEDICAL CENTER, THE DEFENDANT REPORTED TO THE PROBATION OFFICER AND TESTED ON THE DATES OF, 08/30/2010, 09/01/2010, 09/07/2010, 09/10/2010, 09/14/2010, AND 09/16/2010. PROBATION RECORDS INDICATE THE DEFENDANT TESTED POSITIVE FOR COCAINE AND AMPHETAMINES ON 09/07/2010, PROMPTING THE PROBATION OFFICER OF RECORD TO SUBMIT A PROBATION VIOLATION REPORT TO THE COURT. AS A RESULT OF THE PROBATION VIOLATION REPORT, THE COURT REVOKED THE DEFENDANT'S GRANT OF PROBATION AND ORDERED THE PROBATION OFFICER TO SUBMIT A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT FOR A PROBATION VIOLATION HEARING ON 10/22/2010. THE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT FROM THE PROBATION OFFICER WAS HEARD ON 10/22/2010; THE REPORT INDICATED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD CHECKED HERSELF INTO THE BETTY FORD REHABILITATION CENTER ON 09/28/2010. THE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT ALSO INDICATED THAT PRIOR TO HER ENTRANCE INTO THE BETTY FORD CLINIC, THE DEFENDANT WAS PARTICIPATING IN PSYCHOTHERAPY AND CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY COUNSELING AS DIRECTED BY THE COURT. COURT RECORDS INDICATE THAT ON 10/22/2010 THE DEFENDANT WAS FOUND IN VIOLATION OF HER CONDITIONS OF PROBATION FOR HER POSITIVE DRUG TEST ON 09/07/2010; SHE WAS ORDERED TO REMAIN AT THE BETTY FORD CLINIC UNTIL 01/03/2011.

ON 12/14/2010, THE PROBATION OFFICER RECEIVED INFORMATION FROM THE RIVERSIDE SHERIFF'S OFFICE OF A PENDING BATTERY CHARGE WHICH WAS REPORTED TO HAVE OCCURRED AT THE BETTY FORD CENTER. THE SHERIFF'S OFFICER REPORTED THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS REPORTED TO HAVE STAYED OUT PAST CURFEW WITH SEVERAL COMPANIONS FROM THE TREATMENT PROGRAM, AND ATTEMPTED TO SNEAK BACK INTO THE FACILITY BY CLIMBING OVER A FENCE. THE DEFENDANT IS ALLEGED TO HAVE REFUSED A BREATHALYZER, THEN PUSHED A CLINIC

 EMPLOYEE. THE DEFENDANT IS FURTHER ALLEGED TO HAVE THROWN A PHONE AT THE CLINIC EMPLOYEE. THE RIVERSIDE SHERIFF'S REPORT INDICATES THE DEFENDANT'S COMPANIONS WERE DRINKING ALCOHOL WITH THE DEFENDANT ON THE NIGHT OF THE DISTURBANCE. THE POLICE REPORT ALSO NOTED THAT INTERVIEWS WITH RESTAURANT EMPLOYEES, HOTEL EMPLOYEES, AND NIGHTCLUB EMPLOYEES SUPPORT THE STATEMENTS THAT THE DEFENDANT DRANK ALCOHOL ON THE NIGHT OF THE DISTURBANCE. THE RIVERSIDE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS CONTINUING AN INVESTIGATION AND HAS NOT YET FILED CHARGES.

AFTER THE DEFENDANT WAS RELEASED FROM THE BETTY FORD CENTER, SHE REPORTED TO THE PROBATION OFFICER AND WAS TESTED ON THE DATES OF 01/10/2011, 01/19/2011, 01/25/2011, 02/02/2011, AND 02/08/2011. THE TEST DATED 02/08/2011 RESULTED POSITIVE FOR ALCOHOL. ON 02/02/2011, THE DEFENDANT SUBMITTED A CHECK FOR \$3429.00, WHICH FULFILLED HER ENTIRE FINANCIAL OBLIGATION.

ON 02/15/2011, THIS OFFICER CONTACTED THE DEFENDANT'S ASSIGNED PROBATION OFFICER OF RECORD, BARBARA PARKER, OF THE SANTA MONICA AREA PROBATION OFFICE. THE PROBATION OFFICER NOTED THE DEFENDANT RECENTLY TESTED POSITIVE FOR ALCOHOL AND APPEARS TO BE CONTINUING TO STRUGGLE WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUES. THE PROBATION OFFICER BELIEVES THAT SUBSTANCE ABUSE IS THE ROOT OF THE DEFENDANT'S PROBLEMS. IN ADDITION, THE PROBATION OFFICER INDICATED THE DEFENDANT'S RECENT PROGRESS IN TREATMENT IS UNCERTAIN, BECAUSE SHE HAS NOT PROVIDED ANY PROOF OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELING SINCE SHE WAS RELEASED FROM THE BETTY FORD CENTER (01/03/2011). DPO PARKER STATED THAT THE DEFENDANT INFORMED HER SHE IS CONTINUING THE 12 STEP PROGRAM AND HAS A SPONSOR IN AN ALCOHOLICS ANONYMOUS PROGRAM.

DESPITE THE DEFENDANT'S RECENT TROUBLES, PROBATION OFFICER PARKER BELIEVES THE DEFENDANT HAS BENEFITED FROM HER FORMAL GRANT OF PROBATION, AND HAS BEEN MAKING PROGRESS IN HER TREATMENT. THE PROBATION OFFICER STATED THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS COOPERATED WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT IN THAT SHE REPORTED IN PERSON AND HAS SUBMITTED TO TESTING AS DIRECTED. SHE ALSO NOTED THE DEFENDANT HAS SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAMS AS ORDERED BY THE COURT. IF CONVICTED AS CHARGED, THE PROBATION OFFICER WOULD NOT OPPOSE A PROBATION

10

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

REINSTATEMENT; HOWEVER, THE PROBATION OFFICER STRONGLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE COURT ORDER THE DEFENDANT TO CONTINUE SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT. THE DEFENDANT SHOULD ALSO BE ORDERED TO SUBMIT TO PERIODIC ALCOHOL AND DRUG TESTING.

SINCE THE DEFENDANT'S PROBATION REINSTATEMENT ON 10/22/2010, IT APPEARS THE DEFENDANT HAS COOPERATED WITH THE PROBATION OFFICER IN THAT SHE HAS REPORTED AND TESTED AS DIRECTED. IN ADDITION, SINCE HER GRANT OF FORMAL PROBATION, SHE HAS COMPLETED TWO RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAMS AND AN SB-38 D.U.I. PROGRAM. CLEARLY, SHE HAS MADE SOME EFFORTS TO COMPLY WITH HER CONDITIONS OF PROBATION, BUT HER POSITIVE TEST FOR ALCOHOL ON 02/08/2011, SPECIMEN V9545903, SUGGESTS THAT SHE HAS AN ONGOING NEED FOR SPECIFIC TREATMENT. IN ADDITION, THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT PROVIDED PROOF TO THE PROBATION OFFICER OF CURRENT ENROLLMENT IN OUTPATIENT TREATMENT OR THERAPY. IF CONVICTED OF THE INSTANT OFFENSE, THE DEFENDANT WOULD HAVE CLEARLY VIOLATED HER CONDITIONS OF PROBATION, WHICH DIRECT HER TO "OBEY ALL LAWS AND ORDERS OF THE COURT."

EVALUATION:

ALTHOUGH THE DEFENDANT HAS NO RECORD OF VIOLENCE OR PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS, HER RECENT POSITIVE TEST FOR ALCOHOL USE AND THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE CURRENT MATTER ARE TROUBLING IN THAT SHE CONTINUES TO VIOLATE THE LAW, DESPITE PRIOR GRANTS OF PROBATION AND COUNTY JAIL TIME. MORE SEVERE SANCTIONS MAY BE NECESSARY IN ORDER FOR THE DEFENDANT TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE GRAVITY OF HER ACTIONS. IF CONVICTED AS CHARGED IN THE PRESENT MATTER, IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THE DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL CONDUCT IS INCREASING IN SERIOUSNESS AND SEVERITY.

THE PROBATION OFFICER OF RECORD INDICATES THE DEFENDANT HAS COOPERATED WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT AND THAT SHE HAS MADE PROGRESS WITH HER TREATMENT. WITH THE ABOVE IN MIND, IT IS BELIEVED THAT THE DEFENDANT MAY CONTINUE TO BENEFIT FROM FORMAL PROBATION SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT; STATE PRISON IS NOT WARRANTED AT THIS TIME. NEVERTHELESS, IF THE DEFENDANT IS CONVICTED OF THE PRESENT CHARGE, SHE SHOULD NOT ESCAPE PUNISHMENT FOR HER CRIME. THE DEFENDANT NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND THE CONSEQUENCES OF HER BEHAVIOR. THEREFORE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE

DEFENDANT BE GRANTED FORMAL PROBATION FOR THREE YEARS. THE PROBATION OFFICER AGREES WITH THE RECOMMENDED JAIL TIME SUGGESTED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER, DETECTIVE CASTRUITA OF THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, TO WIT, THAT THE DEFENDANT BE ORDERED TO SERVE 180 DAYS IN COUNTY JAIL. IN ADDITION, THE DEFENDANT SHOULD BE ORDERED TO SUBMIT TO PERIODIC ALCOHOL AND ANTI-NARCOTIC TESTING AS DIRECTED BY THE PROBATION OFFICER. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN OUTPATIENT SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELING AS DIRECTED AND APPROVED BY THE PROBATION OFFICER. LASTLY, IS ALSO RECOMMENDED THAT SHE BE ORDERED TO COMPLETE "RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITY COUNSELING."

SENTENCING CONSIDERATIONS:

THE DEFENDANT IS ELIGIBLE FOR PROBATION

CIRCUMSTANCES IN AGGRAVATION:

- THE DEFENDANT'S PRIOR CONVICTIONS AS AN ADULT OR ADJUDICATIONS OF COMMISSION OF CRIMES AS A JUVENILE ARE NUMEROUS OR OF INCREASING SERIOUSNESS.
- THE DEFENDANT WAS ON PROBATION OR PAROLE WHEN SHE COMMITTED THE CRIME.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION:

1. NONE.

THE CIRCUMSTANCE IN MITIGATION AND AGGRAVATION ARE SUCH THAT SHOULD THE DEFENDANT BE ORDERED TO STATE PRISON, THE MID BASE TERM IS INDICATED.

RECOMMENDATION:

SHOULD THE DEFENDANT BE CONVICTED AS CHARGED, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT PROCEEDINGS BE SUSPENDED; THAT THE DEFENDANT PAY A RESTITUTION FINE PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1202.4 PC; AND THAT THE DEFENDANT BE GRANTED PROBATION FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS UNDER THE ATTACHED TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

REGARDING CASE 7BV01538, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE FOUND IN VIOLATION OF PROBATION, THAT PROBATION BE REVOKED AND REINSTATED; AND THAT PROBATION BE CONTINUED UNDER THE SAME TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

23. DO NOT HARASS, BOTHER, MOLEST, ANNOY OR COMMUNICATE WITHAND STAY AWAY FROM SAID PERSON
(S), THEIR RESIDENCE OR PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. (413)
24. X STAY 100 YARDS AWAY FROM AND HAVE NO CONTACT NUMBER OF STATES
25. STAY AWAY FROM LOCATION OF ARREST. (904) STAY AWAY FROM(904)
20. LI LINOLL WITHIN 30 DAYS IN AND SHOTESSHILL V COMPLETE A 60 MILEY DATES TO THE PARTY OF THE
THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. ATTEND ALL COUNSELING SESSIONS, KEEP ALL PROGRAM APPOINTMENTS AND PAY ALL PROGRAM FEES IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEFENDANCES.
PAY ALL PROGRAM FEES IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEFENDANT'S ABILITY TO PAY. (369)
27. OBEY THE PROTECTIVE ORDER ISSUED IN THIS OR ANY OTHER CASE. (579) DEFENDANT SERVED WITH A COPY
28. PROVIDE A DNA SAMPLE AND PALM PRINTS IMPRESSION PURSUANT TO PC SECTIONS 296 AND 296.1 (790)
29. PAY ALL OF THE FOLLOWING (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
A RESTITUTION FINE OF A MINIMUM OF \$200.00 CALCULATED PER 1202.4 (b)-(e) AND A 10% RESTITUTION FINE SERVICE CHARGED PER 1202.4 (l)
A PROBATION REVOCATION RESTITUTION FINE IN THE SAME AMOUNT AS THE PROPERTY.
TATALOGE REVOCATION RESIDENCE IN THE SAME AMOUNT AS THE DESCRIPTION OF
SECTION 1202.44, PAYMENT IS STAYED UNTIL PAROLE IS REVOKED AND YOU ARE RETURNED TO PRISON.
LAB ANALYSIS THE DEFENDANT IS TO PAY A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50.00 (11372.5 HEALTH &SAFETY,
THE POLICE A PENALT I ASSESSIVENT OF XX OF COUNTY TO CECTION 1464 OF TWO
A COURT SECURITY FEE OF \$30 00 PER PC 1465 8(A) (I) (DEP COUNT) (GE)
A CRIMINAL CONVICTION/FACILITIES ASSESSMENT OF \$30.00 PER GOVT. CODE SECTION 70273 (1) GG
A DRUG PROGRAM OF \$150.00 (PER DRUG COUNT EXCEPT 11357 (B) H&S) PER HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
A CRIME PREVENTION FINE OF \$10.00 PER PENAL CODE SECTION 1202.5 (PC THEFT-RELATED AND
☐ A SEX OFFENDER FINE OF ☐ \$300.00 (1 ST OFFENSE) ☐ \$500 (2 ND AND SUBSEQUENT OFFENSES) PER PENAL
□ A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PAYMENT OF □ \$400.00 □ \$PER PENAL CODE SECTION 1203.097 (a)(5).
☐ A DEJ RESTITUTION FINE OF \$PER PENAL CODE SECTION 1001.90/PENAL CODE SECTION 1001.3. (112)
30.