Law Office of Daniel Horowitz



Facsimile: E-Mail:

September 7, 2011

Emily Smith
Editor, Page Six
New York Post
New York, New York

Dear Ms. Smith:

I represent Rachel Uchitel who was the subject of two Page Six articles, one on September 6, 2011 and the other on September 8, 2011.

The articles are inaccurate and convey a disastrously false impression of my client. Rachel Uchitel, who lost her most beloved fiancé on September 11, 2001. Your author's quotes are misleading. They are snippets and inaccurate renditions of what she said - twisted to convey a completely false impression of her relationship with James Andrew O'Grady.

The false quotes do not set forth her feelings about James Andrew O'Grady. The false words contradict her previous quotes and abuse her.

The words in the article, now being picked up by other media, have taken on a life of their own. It is now a constant abuse because of your wrongdoing.

The newspaper used twice, on September 6th and September 8th, a crude and malicious juxtaposition of words which not only caused Ms. Uchitel present and future embarrassment but also future damage, including financial damage.

She has been in the public eye since 2001. She has been quoted at length. She has never previously said anything like the words she is quoted as saying about her and Mr. O'Grady.

I have read the article that you intend to release in the next few days. It has no meaningful content. It is attacking, degrading and extraordinarily inaccurate. Instead of focusing on the pain that 9/11 caused Americans and instead of focusing on the wonderful life of Mr. O'Grady, you created a work

of miserable and demeaning fiction. You should be ashamed of yourself.

The false quotes are a disservice to his memory, their life together, and her life.

There must be redress on your part. In the interests of decency and journalistic integrity, the article should be clarified and the false words withdrawn to keep the record straight.

An apology must issue to Ms. Uchitel.

