



For years, a small group of women have built public platforms around tearing me apart while claiming to stand for empowerment and accountability.

They present themselves as advocates for truth, yet they refuse private conversations. They refuse direct resolution. They prefer public spectacle.

I have reached out. I have attempted to handle matters quietly and respectfully. Instead, I have been met with more commentary, more distortion, and more monetized outrage.

Let's be honest. Conflict generates engagement. Engagement generates

your narrative than to receive things privately.

This cycle does not stay online. Their audiences have flooded my pages with harassment, gaslighting, and even death threats. There is nothing I have done that warrants that level of hostility. No disagreement, no difference in perspective, justifies threats or mob intimidation.

What is especially confusing is the selective outrage. The same people who claim to stand against their abuser and exploitation will sometimes defend or minimize the very dynamics they publicly condemn, depending on who it benefits. When I speak out, I am attacked. When I remain silent, I am attacked. When I challenge him, they defend him. The standard shifts depending on the storyline.

You cannot preach integrity while orchestrating pile-ons. You cannot speak about justice while weaponizing half-stories. And you cannot claim moral authority while repeatedly attacking someone who has asked for private dialogue.

This dynamic has extended beyond me. It has impacted others deeply, including Kendra and others. At some point, we have to ask whether this is about truth or about maintaining a profitable, convenient villain.

Things are not always what they seem online.

If someone truly wants accountability, my door has always been open.

Empowerment should not require another woman to be endlessly humiliated